

## A STATEMENT OF PHYSICAL DISABILITIES

"Being so severely Electro-Hypersensitive is like being a hermit in an infernal hell."  
San Francisco EarthLink Wi-Fi Network (2007 Page 25)

For the most part, I have been home-bound for more than ten years with life-threatening Electrohypersensitivity EHS, and Chemical Hypersensitivity CHS. (CHS is also known as Multiple Chemical Sensitivity MCS.) Please see doctor's letter and pictures of the harm caused by exposure to radiation and chemical odors. Appxs. 1, 2a, 2b, 2c.

Exposure to the radiation found inside buildings, in vehicles, and in other places, including the radiation emitted by power lines, electric motors and transformers, fluorescent lights, cellphones and cell towers, Wi-Fi, microwave/wireless computers and transmitters, video display screens, radar, etc, causes me among other things, swelling of vital organs; swelling of face, head and eyes; symptoms of heart attack and stroke; rashes and loss of skin; flu and allergy symptoms; joint and muscle pain; extreme weakness and fatigue; painful cramps; internal bleeding; and stomach, sleeping, vision, hearing, speech, memory, concentration and breathing problems. Exposure to chemicals and odors causes me some of the same health effects. Please See the medical, scientific and legal evidence of my CHS and EHS attached herein as appendices 1-10; 14a, 14b.

I cannot be inside my home, but for a few minutes at a time, and I stay in a metal room and in other places in the back yard. Even the small amounts of radiation and chemical odors reaching my place aggravate my condition. I have been violently ill several times. At times, I struggle to breathe even with oxygen. I am sleep-deprived and in pain all the time. Every day it is a different torture. This is a horrible way to die. Id.

Doctors with expertise in Environmental Medicine diagnosed my EHS and CHS, and my EHS and CHS have been recognized by federal and State agencies, and by courts as physical medical conditions and disabilities. Id; Appxs. 27-60.

The cellphones and other wireless devices used by children emit power levels of microwave radiation above the federal safety limits which cause severe harm even to healthy and strong adults, including the symptoms and signs of EHS. See Conclusive Evidence on the Record of Harm in Children by Microwave Radiation in Schools. Appxs. 11, 12. See also Open Letter to the Judiciary and Read the Fine Print. Appxs 11, 15, 25, 26, 36.

Thousands of peer-reviewed scientific studies document the harm caused by exposure to even low levels of radiation, including the symptoms of EHS. Appxs. 9-15. See also Magda Havas, San Francisco, EarthLink Wi-Fi Network (2007); The Bioinitiative Report 2007, 2012, 2014, 2020.

It is estimated that without knowing it, millions are suffering from EHS. Children, teachers, doctors, attorneys, and even a judge and a general director of the World Health Organization

are suffering from EHS. See Summary of EHS by Dr. Erica Mallery-Blythe, Appx 5; see Appxs 16-20. See also the testimony of a doctor to the Massachusetts Legislature detailing how her children's EHS is the tip of the iceberg of the millions of children that without knowing it are suffering from EHS. Appxs 21-24, 27.

The symptoms and signs of EHS and CHS can be confounded with symptoms and signs of most illnesses, including ADHD, PTSD, autism, dyslexia, heart attack and stroke, multiple sclerosis, fibromyalgia, hypothyroidism, Parkinson's, Alzheimer's, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic fatigue syndrome, diabetes, and major depression and suicidal tendencies. See Electrohypersensitivity-EHS Awareness, Appxs 14-a, 14-b. See the harm caused by Microwaves on parents and on the unborn, Appx 26.

The American Academy of Environmental Medicine has trained doctors to recognize the symptoms that disappear by reducing exposure to radiation. Letter of AAEM to the Los Angeles Unified School District dated March 19, 2013, appxs 39, 40.

Despite that cellphones and other wireless devices emit power levels of radiation that cause harm even to adults; despite of the thousands of studies of the harm caused by radiation, including the symptoms of EHS; despite that EHS is recognized as a physical medical condition; and despite that EHS is reaching pandemic proportions most doctors are in the dark or in denial and continue misdiagnosing and mistreating with harmful drugs and invasive procedures symptoms and signs that disappear with reducing exposure to radiation. Appxs 1-60.

For many years, the FCC, the FDA and the CDC have concealed the harm caused by exposure to radiation.

On August 13, 2021, and in response to more than 11,000 pages of medical, scientific and legal evidence of the widespread harm caused by Microwave Radiation, including EHS, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit rejected the FDA allegations that wireless-microwave-radiation is harmless and ordered the FCC to explain why they ignore this evidence of harm, and especially on children. Children's Health Defense, et al v. the Federal Communication Commission, Case No 20-10-25.

In limiting the FCC's ruling, the Court described the catastrophic harm caused on the EHS by the massive deployment of wireless-microwave technologies citing in part: "That the Telecommunications Act should not be interpreted to injure an identifiable segment of the population, exiled them from their homes and their city, leave them no place where they can survive and allowed them no remedy under City, State or Federal law or constitutions." Page 28. Id.

Dafna Tachover, an Attorney suffering from EHS and who for many years has been exposing on her web site the harm caused by radiation, was on the team of attorneys in this landmark

case. "Historic Win": CHD Win Case Against FCC on Safety Guidelines for 5-G and Wireless. Children's Health Defense Team. 8/13/2021. See: WeAreTheEvidence.org. Appxs 13a, 13b, 13c.


"Attorney Dafna Tachover, CHD's Director of 5-G and Wireless Harm's Project who initiated and led the case for CHD, said:"

"The FCC will finally have to recognize the immense suffering by the millions of people who have already been harmed by the FCC's and FDA's unprecedented failure to protect public health. Finally the truth is out. I am hopeful that following this decision, the FCC will do the right thing and halt any further deployment of 5-G." Appx 13b.

The medical, pictures, and other documentation attached herein - appendices 1-60 are not confidential information.

Sharing this information with parents and parents-to-be, with the disabled and the elderly, and with teachers, school officials, doctors, attorneys, city officials, judges and legislators, can save immense pain and suffering and the lives of millions of children and other defenseless and unsuspecting victims.

Respectfully,  
Jesus Mendoza  
956-583-7012  
January 20, 2022



24 Jan, 2022

This document was drafted into electronic form with assistance.

## **INDEX TO APPENDIX TO STATEMENT OF PHYSICAL DISABILITIES**

### **APPX.**

1. Doctor's letter describing my life-threatening EHS and CHS.
- 2a, 2b, 2c. Pictures and description of the harm caused by radiation and by chemical/odors.
- 3, 4. Excerpts of the first diagnosis of my EHS and CHS at the Environmental Health Center in Dallas, Texas.
5. Physician Statement of Disability issued by the Texas Department of Aging and Disability Services and signed by my doctor stating as medical diagnoses "Highly Sensitive to Electricity" and detailing some of the major life activities substantially impaired when exposed to radiation.
6. A Summary of EHS by Dr. Erica Mallery-Blythe.
- 7, 8. Excerpts of Decisions of the Social Security finding my EHS and CHS medically determinable and severe physical impairment.
- 9, 10. Citations to more than 50 peer-reviewed scientific studies documenting the symptoms of EHS and of other harm caused by low levels of microwave radiation.
- 11, 12. Conclusive evidence of the harm caused on children by the microwave radiation at school.
- 13a, 13b, 13c. Historic Win, Children's Health Defense v. The FCC.
- 14a, 14b. EHS Awareness.
15. Open Letter to the Judiciary.
16. Testimony of a German Judge about her EHS.
- 17, 18. The Testimony of a Director of the World Health Organization about her EHS.
- 19, 20. The Doctor Who Diagnosed Her Own EHS.
- 21-24. The testimony of a doctor to the Massachusetts Legislature about the harm caused on her children by EHS.
25. Read The Fine Print detailing how cellphones and other wireless devices used by children emit power levels of microwave radiation which cause harm to even healthy and strong adults.

26. The harm caused on parents-to-be and on the unborn by exposure to microwave radiation.
27. A published study on the estimated number of people suffering from EHS and CHS.
28. Recommendations of the US Access Board to accommodate the disabled with EHS or CHS in public places.
- 29-31 An article detailing Dr. William J. Rea expertise in EHS and CHS, and detailing how most doctors are misdiagnosing and mistreating the symptoms and signs of EHS and CHS.
- 32-34. Dr. Rea's diagnosis of a patient detailing with scientific and medical evidence the legitimacy of EHS as a physical medical condition.
35. Dr. Rea's study details an effective method to evaluate EHS.
36. Citation to more than 40 scientific studies of the harm that can be caused by exposure to low levels of microwave radiation.
- 37, 38. The Los Angeles Unified School District granted a teacher's request to accommodate her EHS in the classroom.
- 39-40. The American Academy of Environmental Medicine has trained doctors to identify symptoms that disappear by reducing exposure to microwave radiation.
- 41, 42. The US Access Board recognizes EHS and CHS as disabilities under the American With Disabilities Act.
43. The Social Security has recognized CHS, also known as Multiple Chemical Sensitivities as medically determinable physical conditions.
44. - -
45. The Texas Department of Public Safety placed on my Texas ID that I have a communication impediment. (Because of my EHS the wireless devices used by police officers impair my memory, speech and concentration.)
46. Because of my life-threatening EHS and CHS I have been found permanently unable to serve as a juror.
- 47-50. My Motion to a Texas State Court to allow me appearing by phone to judicial proceedings as accommodation to my EHS and CHS.
- 51-54. Court Orders granting my motion.

55, 56. My motion to another Texas Court to allow me appearing by phone to judicial proceedings.

57, 58. Court Orders granting my Motion.

59, 60. An Opinion by a Federal Court recognizing my EHS.

Drafted into electronic form with assistance

NEXT PAGE

THEY ARE THE ONLY TWO LEFT

NEXT PAGE

NEXT PAGE



JOHNSON MEDICAL ASSOCIATES  
Comprehensive Medicine | Traditional | Holistic | Alternative

October 28, 2016

RE: Jesus Mendoza

To Whom It May Concern:

The above patient has been under my care for many years. He is currently suffering from severe hypersensitivities to chemical fumes, odors, and a life-threatening electro-hypersensitivity. .

It is medically necessary that he be allowed to appear by phone for court. Please allow him to have phone conferencing as he is unable to tolerate the building. If this patient appears in person in court, his speech, concentration, memory, and hearing will be severely impaired. If he is confined inside a building or in other places where there is radiation, he could suffer irreversible harm including death.

Exposure to sources of radiation emitted by power lines, electric transformers and motors, computers, fluorescent lights, and wireless devices, causes Mr. Mendoza severe pain, swelling of vital organs, breathing difficulties; as well as speech, concentration, memory, and hearing deficits. Also, exposure to some chemicals, fumes, and odors can cause the same health effects as listed.

If you have any questions regarding this please, please feel free to contact my office.

Sincerely Yours,

Alfred R. Johnson, D.O.  
ARJ/crd



THE HARM CAUSED BY THE SAME RADIATION REACHING CHILDREN AT SCHOOL.

TOP PICTURE. Shows facial swelling, and life-threatening swelling of brain. The swelling inside the skull is so intense as to push an eye out of its socket.

MIDDLE AND BOTTOM PICTURES. The pictures show life-threatening rashes and loss of skin.






Exhibit "A" Doctet 34,  
Mendoza et al., v. Sharyland ISD,  
Case No. 7:11-cv-29. (S.D. TX. 2011)



26

See Next Page

The pictures show life-threatening swelling of face caused by the radiation emitted by the speaker of the headset of a land line phone after a hearing in federal Court in which I was allowed to appear by phone.

During the hearing, a world-class expert in the harm caused by the radiation at schools, Dr. Samuel Milham testified among other things that school officials should follow the doctors' orders not to seat children in close proximity to sources of radiation.

At no time during the litigation, school officials challenged the evidence of their falsification of government records, and of their perjury and fraud on the Courts to conceal that school children are being exposed to power levels of radiation that causes Electrohyper-sensitivity and other catastrophic and irreversible harm and disabilities that defeat the purpose of education. Mendoza v. Sharyland Ind. School District et. al, Case No. 7111-CV-29 (S.D. TX. 2011) Docket 101.

## Patient Tracking Checklist

Date Done

Services

<u>6-6-00</u>	Housing (Condo - Seagoville - Other)
	Initial Patient orientation
	Follow-up orientation
	Initial Nutrition visit
	Follow-up Nutrition
	Pupillography
	Posturography
	Food shots ordered
	Inhalant shots ordered
	Immune therapy education
	Cell cycle orientation
	Detoxification (sauna)
	Other



Jesus Mendoza Age 46  
05/24/54

## DIAGNOSIS:

- 1 EMF
- 2 Toxic enceph.
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6

3-11

CONTROL THE ENVIRONMENT



ISOLATE THE INCITANT

# Environmental Health Center — Dallas

8345 Walnut Hill Lane, Suite 220, Dallas, Texas 75231 • Telephone - (214) 368-4132 - FAX: (214) 691-8432  
e-mail: [inform@ehcd.com](mailto:inform@ehcd.com) • Worldwide Web site: [www.ehcd.com](http://www.ehcd.com)

June 6, 2000


Re: Jesus Mendoza

To Whom It May Concern:

I have evaluated this patient's medical condition and it is important that the patient have a proper testing environment in order to attain maximum potential from this patient. The testing area should be devoid of any chemicals and any appliance that may emit electromagnetic fields that could significantly affect the outcome of this patient's tests.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call my office at (214) 368-4132.

Sincerely,

  
William J. Rea, M.D., F.A.C.S., F.A.A.E.M.

WJR/tp/cdcp

William J. Rea, M.D.  
F.A.C.S., F.A.A.E.M.,  
F.A.C.N., F.A.C.P.M.,  
F.A.C.A., F.R.S.M.

Board Certified in  
Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery  
Abdominal and General Surgery  
Environmental Medicine

Ervin J. Fenyves, Ph.D.  
Environmental Science

Bertie Griffiths, Ph.D.  
Immunology  
Microbiology

Carolyn Gorman, M.A.  
Health Education

Teena Petree, B.S.P.T.  
Physical Therapy

Colette Bureau, L. Ac., Dipl. Ac.  
L. Ac., Dipl. Ac. (NCCA), C.C.  
Acupuncture  
Colorpuncturist

Lynn Koganti, M.S., R.D., L.D.  
Nutrition

Texas Department of Aging  
and Disability ServicesIn-Home and Family Support Program  
Physician Statement of Disability

MAR 22 2007

Form 2358  
April 1997

Fax

956-971-1311

Date  
4-23-2007

Patient Name

Jesus Mendoza

Address

2202 E 28th St

Mission, TX 78572

Caseworker, Address, Phone

Lina Garcia

4501 W. Business Highway 83

McAllen, TX 78501

956/971-1236

The following medical information is needed to determine this patient's eligibility for the In-Home and Family Support Program. The Program is intended to assist families in maintaining an individual with a physical disability IN THE HOME. PLEASE COMPLETE ALL ITEMS BELOW. If you have questions, please contact the caseworker at the address and phone number above.

I. MEDICAL DIAGNOSIS: Highly sensitive to electricityII. APPROXIMATE DATE OF ONSET  
OF DISABLING CONDITION

Approx. Date of Onset

1997

III. FUNCTIONAL LIMITATIONS (check all SUBSTANTIAL limitations that apply): When exposed☐ Self-Care☐ Self-Direction☒ Receptive and Expressive Language☒ Capacity for Independent Living - Yes, when in crisis☒ Learning +L Severe☒ Economic Self-Sufficiency - Self employed needs☒ Mobility - Severe☐ Other (specify):to avoid exposure to  
remain able to workIV. EXPECTED DURATION OF DISABILITY: UnknownV. PROGNOSIS: poorVI. RECOMMENDATIONS/OTHER COMMENTS: Do you recommend a monitor to measure radiation around client's  
Environment? Yes NoThese will greatly enhance his ability to prevent  
exposure increasing quality of life + make him able to work  
at home

VII.

Signature - Physician

Date

Physician's Name (please type or print)

Dr. Alfred R. Johnson, D.O.

Physician's Mailing Address

Telephone No.

317 Dal-Rich Village, Richardson, TX 75080

972-479-0400

PLEASE RETURN THIS DOCUMENT IN THE ADDRESSED, STAMPED ENVELOPE PROVIDED

Rec'd by IHASP on  
JUN 03 2007  
FJ

5

# Appendix 1. Electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS): excerpts from a summary by Dr Erica Mallery-Blythe<sup>80</sup>

EHS is characterized by an awareness of/adverse response to even extremely weak electromagnetic fields (far below current safety levels) of varying frequencies, intensities and waveforms. It has been demonstrated to be an “environmentally inducible bona-fide neurological syndrome”. It is a primary physical issue, not a psychological one. Conscious perception can vary with age, gender and physiology. Effects can occur even in those not experiencing symptoms at a cellular level, and it is possible that everybody could potentially develop EHS as every cell in our body is dependent on electrical signals.

**Common symptoms:** Headaches, dizziness, sleep disturbance, sensory up-regulation, dermatological issues, palpitations, visual/auditory disturbances, membrane sensitivity, muscle twitching, hyperactivity/fatigue, memory/concentration disturbance and anxiety (psychiatric symptoms such as anxiety and depression are likely to be secondary to the physiological effects rather than a primary cause).

**Exposure-induced:** EHS is a cumulative, exposure-triggered condition, and exposures are rising rapidly. If EHS is unmanaged and there is general deterioration, there will be reaction to an increasingly broad range of frequencies at increasingly low intensities. The number of devices triggering symptoms will increase, symptomatic distances will decrease and irreversibility will become more likely.

The number of people with EHS symptoms who have not yet linked them to exposure is likely to be far higher than the estimated 4–10% of the population reported by several countries. Given the ubiquity of exposure in all environments, it can be difficult for people to notice the association. **Extrapolated figures suggest that 50% of the population may be affected by 2017.** With enough exposure, it is possible that EHS could manifest in all members of the population. Many children are affected but undiagnosed. They are more likely to develop EHS since their exposure is higher and their systems are developing.

**Socioeconomic impact of EHS and human rights:** EHS is already affecting a very large number of people, many of whom are unable to work due to their condition, resulting in lost revenue. An extra burden is placed on healthcare agency resources due to inappropriate diagnosis and management of common symptoms. In more severe cases, individuals are forced to live in extreme isolation, poverty and poor health, with some living in cars and tents, which can also prove threatening to health and life, especially in extreme temperatures. They cannot access basic, life-sustaining public amenities, such as grocery stores and healthcare facilities, which constitutes a clear breach of their human rights.

*“I have been contacted on a daily basis by individuals with EHS, medical practitioners, school and parent groups, legal representatives, the media and political bodies looking for medical advice on EHS or the health effects of EMF in general. This is an increasingly demanding task and therefore I have founded a medical doctors organisation entitled PHIRE (Physicians’ Health Initiative for Radiation and Environment) in order to increase the available support for those requesting it” (<http://phiremedical.org/>).*

<sup>80</sup> See: <http://www.iemfa.org/wp-content/pdf/Mallery-Blythe-v1-EESC.pdf>; includes 72 pages of scientific references.

Relevant  
excerpts of  
Decision

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION  
Office of Hearings Operations

DECISION

IN THE CASE OF

CLAIM FOR

Jesus M Mendoza  
(Claimant)

Supplemental Security Income

The claimant's ability to perform work at all exertional levels has been compromised by nonexertional limitations. In his pre-hearing filings, the claimant alleged that he has a sensitivity to electro-magnetic fields. 3E/2. He asserted that he has life-threatening "Multiple Chemical Sensitivity" (MCS) and "Electrohyper-sensitivity" (CHS). 14E/1; 23E/1. He alleged that exposure to radiation emitted by power lines, electric transformers and motors, fluorescent lights, video display screens, cell phones, wireless computers, aircraft and vehicle radar, dirty electricity etc, causes various symptoms, including: swelling of vital organs, swelling of his face, head and eyes, symptoms of heart attack and of stroke; flu and allergy symptoms, inability to sleep, rashes and loss of skin, and breathing, speech, vision, memory and concentration problems. 14E/1; 2F. He asserted that exposure to chemicals and odors found inside buildings and vehicles have similar effects. 14E/1. He claimed that he has passed out from pain and the inability to breathe on several occasions. 23E/3. He asserted that he cannot drive. 14E/1. The claimant also asserted that he cannot walk farther than the next-door neighbors' house. 14E/1. He alleged that he cannot stay in his home for more than a few minutes, so he spends his days in his yard. 14E/1. He claimed that he wears protective gear on his "thoracic box" and head.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

After careful consideration of the entire record, the undersigned makes the following findings:

1. The claimant has not engaged in substantial gainful activity since October 2, 2014, the application date (20 CFR 416.971 *et seq.*).

The claimant worked after the application date but this work activity did not rise to the level of substantial gainful activity. The claimant's earnings records show \$957.00 earned in 2015. This is below substantial gainful activity levels. 21D.

2. The claimant has the following severe impairment: immunodeficiency (20 CFR 416.920(c)).

The above medically determinable impairments significantly limit the ability to perform basic work activities as required by SSR 85-28.

/s/ *Kimberly O. Wyatt*

Kimberly O. Wyatt  
Administrative Law Judge

May 11, 2020  
Date



Relevant  
Excerpts of  
Decision.

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION  
Office of Hearings and Appeals

DECISION

IN THE CASE OF

Jesus Mendoza  
(Claimant)


CLAIM FOR

Period of Disability,  
Disability Insurance Benefits, and  
Supplemental Security Income

FINDINGS

After careful consideration of the entire record, the Administrative Law Judge makes the following findings:

2. The claimant has not engaged in substantial gainful activity since August 31, 2000, although he continues to engage in work activity.
3. The claimant's electromagnetic sensitivity is a severe impairment, based upon the requirements in the Regulations (20 CFR §§ 404.1521 and 416.921).

  
William F. Nail, Jr.  
Administrative Law Judge

OCT 23 2003

Date

8

OPEN LETTER TO PARENTS, SCHOOL OFFICIALS, DOCTORS, ATTORNEYS, LEGISLATORS AND TO  
FEDERAL AND STATE LAW ENFORCEMENT AND INVESTIGATIVE AGENCIES.

FEDERAL AND STATE LITIGATION HAS PROVEN THAT A MASSIVE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE AND  
MASSIVE MEDICAID AND MEDICARE FRAUD ARE IN USE TO CONCEAL THAT SCHOOL CHILDREN  
ARE BEING EXPOSED TO POWERFUL LEVELS OF RADIATION EXCEEDING THE FEDERAL SAFETY  
LIMITS AND TO THE SAME MICROWAVE RADIATION THAT HAS CAUSED HARM TO TEACHERS,  
TO FIREFIGHTERS, TO POLICE OFFICERS, AND TO OTHER ADULTS AND TO CONCEAL THAT THE  
MICROWAVE RADIATION AT SCHOOL IS CAUSING CHILDREN ELECTROHYPERSENSITIVITY, AND  
BRAIN, EYE, BLOOD, NERVE, HEART, DNA DAMAGE AND OTHER SEVERE HARM AND  
DISABILITIES THAT DEFEAT THE PURPOSE OF EDUCATION AS EXPLAINED ON THE PETITION TO  
IMPEACH 3 FEDERAL JUDGES SUBMITTED TO THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, TO THE  
U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL, AND TO THE U.S. DEPT. OF EDUCATION AND POSTED ON THE CASE  
LAW SECTION OF [wirelesswatchdog.org](http://wirelesswatchdog.org).

The wireless devices used inside schools are exposing children to more than 250,000 uW/m<sup>2</sup> of  
microwave radiation. Below, some of the scientific studies on the record proving the harm  
being caused on children by the microwave radiation at school.

uW/m<sup>2</sup>

20	<u>Altpeter 1995, 1997</u> - Sleep disorders, abnormal blood pressure, weakness, fatigue, limb pain, digestive problems, fewer school children promoted.
30-200	<u>Heinrich 2010</u> - Headaches, irritation and concentration problems in school children.
30-500	<u>Thomas 2010</u> - Short term exposures caused sleep problems in school children.
50	<u>Moltier 2010</u> - Chronic exposure caused sleep problems.
50-400	<u>Thomas 2008</u> - Headaches and concentration problems in adults.
60-100	<u>Buchner 2012</u> - Long term effects on the immune system.
100	<u>Kolbum 1987</u> - Human sensation
100-500	<u>Hutter 2006</u> - Headaches, concentration and sleeping problems.
500-1,100	<u>Navarro 2003</u> - Fatigue, headaches, sleeping problems.
150-2,100	<u>Aughner 2009</u> - Changes in mental state, prevented refined word choices, calmness, stupified, zoned-out.
165-4,400	<u>Oberfeld 2004</u> - Fatigue, depressive tendency, sleeping disorders, concentration problems, cardiovascular problems, significant increase in breast cancer and brain tumors.
300-500	<u>Rassoul 2000</u> - Headaches, memory changes, depressive symptoms, sleeping problems.
500-1,000	<u>Khurans 2010</u> - Increased neuro - cardio symptoms, and increased cancer.
500-1,000	<u>Kundi 2009</u> - Headaches, fatigue, concentration and sleeping problems.
638	<u>Papageorgio 2011</u> - Decreased cognitive function.
1,300	<u>Ziramborn 2003</u> - Decreased cognition and wellbeing.
1,600	<u>Kolodinski 2006</u> - Problems of memory, attention and motor function of children.
2,100	<u>Ridervold 2008</u> - Headaches in only 45 minutes of exposure to cell phone radiation.
3,800	<u>Schwartz 1990</u> - Effect on heart function.


3,000	<u>Wolf 2004</u> - Ten times risk of cancer with short latency.
2,000-80,000	<u>Hoking 1996</u> - Two-fold increase in leukemia in children.
2,000-80,000	<u>Hoking 2000</u> - Decreased survival in children with leukemia.
5,000	<u>Alberts 1997</u> - <u>Oscar and Hawkin 1997</u> , <u>Neilly an lin 1986</u> - Breakdown of the brain-blood barrier allowing the entry of toxins into the nervous system.
5,000-10,000	<u>Avendano 2012</u> - 4-hour exposure to the microwave emitted by a WI-FI laptop caused DNA damage to human sperm.
8,000-100,000	<u>Akoey 2002</u> - Changes in behavior.
10,000	<u>Eltiti 2007</u> - 50 minutes of exposure caused loss of wellbeing to electro-sensitive patients.
10,000	<u>Persson 1997</u> - Toxic leakage of the blood-brain barrier.
10,000	<u>Simonenko 1998</u> - Headaches, dizziness, irritability, fatigue, weakness, insomnia, chest pain, stomach and breathing problems.
10,000	<u>Novoselova 1999</u> - Affected functions of the immune system.
13,000-57,000	<u>Dolk 1997</u> - Leukemia, skin melanoma, bladder cancer.
20,000	<u>Mann 1996</u> - Effects in immune system.
20,000	<u>Frey 1963, 1969, 1971, 1973, 1988; Justenson 1979; Olsen 1980; Wieske 1963; Lin 1978</u> - Microwave hearing-clicking, buzzing, chirping, hissing, or high-pitched tones (tinnitus).
20,000-40,000	<u>D'Inseo 1998</u> - Effects in physical and behavioral functions.
25,000	<u>Wolke 1996</u> - Calcium concentration in heart muscle.
40,000	<u>Chiang 1989</u> - Altered white blood cells in school children.
40,000	<u>Tatersall 2001</u> - Effects in memory and learning.
40,000 to 150,000	<u>Chiang 1989</u> - Memory impairments, slowed motor skills, and retarded learning in children.
50,000	<u>Boscolo 2001</u> - Immune function decreased.
50,000	<u>Belokrinitakiy 1982</u> - Biochemical and histological changes in liver, kidney, and in brain tumor.
50,000	<u>Dumanisky 1974</u> - Impaired nervous system activity.
52,500	<u>Kewee 2001</u> - 20 minutes of exposure induced stress response.
60,000	<u>Phillips 1998</u> - DNA damage.
87,500	<u>Marinelli 2004</u> - 2-12 hours of exposure caused DNA damage, linked to tumor aggression.
100,000	<u>Belokrinitakiy 1982</u> - Brain damage.
160,000	<u>Shutenko 1981</u> - Redistribution of metals in brain, lungs, heart, liver, kidney, spleen, bones, blood, skin and muscles.
160,000	<u>Navakatikian 1994</u> - Changes in behavior.
100,000	<u>Richter 2000</u> - Increased risk of cancer with short latency.
156,000	<u>Polonga-Moraru 2004</u> - Eye damage.

SOURCES: Section I of the Bioinitiative Reports 2007, 2012; Magda Havas PhD., San Foo. Earthlink WI-FI Network 2007; Powerwatch.ork.uk

The San Foo. Earthlink WI-FI Network 2007 describes with some of the studies cited above how the symptoms of Electrohypersensitivity and of the harm being caused on children by the radiation at school are the same. See also: [wearetheevidence.org](http://wearetheevidence.org).

Please share this information as much as you can. Our children cannot defend themselves from these criminal aggressions.

Respectfully Submitted:

  
Jesus Mendoza (956) 583-7012

Transcribed from mechanical to electronic format with assistance.

# Conclusive Evidence on the Record of Harm to Children by Microwave Radiation in Schools

by Jesus Mendoza

The Oregon Report on Wireless Technology Health Risks – SB 283 – failed to consider evidence proving that radiation in schools is causing disabilities and harm to children, which defeats the purpose of education.

- Even short doses of radiation above the federal standards causes harm to healthy and strong adults. (Section I of the Bioinitiative report 2007, page 6)
- Cellphones, tablets and laptops emit power levels of microwave radiation above the federal standards.
  - Cellphones tested more than five times the FCC standards. (Sam Roe, Popular Cellphones Tested for Radiofrequency Radiation, the McAllen Monitor, August 25, 2019)
  - Cellphones in the pocket can expose children to more than eleven times the FCC Standards. (Gandhi, 2019)
  - As many as 75% of cellphones may exceed the FCC's exposure limits. (FCC Cellphone Safety Limits Enforcement Probes, Electromagnetic Health Blog 10.01.2017)
  - Cellphones, tablets and laptops can exceed the FCC's Standards. (Read The Fine Print, ehtrust.org)
- Electrohypersensitivity (EHS) is a serious, and at times life threatening illness. EHS is aggravated by exposure to the same microwave radiation reaching children at school, and which has been recognized by federal and State agencies and by courts as a physical, medical condition, and as a disability. (Request to Protect Our Children, submitted to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, Dr. Miguel Cardona, and posted on the Case Law Material of J. Mendoza at WirelessWatchBlog.org)
- Children, teachers, doctors, attorneys and even a Director of the World Health Organization are suffering from EHS. (See Wearetheevidence.org)
- It is estimated that without knowing it, millions of children are suffering symptoms of EHS. (Belpomme et al, Electrohypersensitivity as a Newly Identified and Characterized Neurologic Pathological Disorder: How to Diagnose, Treat, and Prevent It, 2020, page 15; Erica Mallery-Blythe, EHS Summary, 2015)
- The symptoms of EHS and of the harm that can be caused by exposure to microwave radiation as documented by peer reviewed scientific studies are the same. (Magda Havas Ph.D., San Francisco, EarthLink Wi-Fi Network, 2007, page 6)
- Thousands of peer-reviewed scientific studies document the symptoms of EHS and the harm that can be caused by the same microwave radiation reaching children at school. (The Bioinitiative report, 2007, 2012, 2014, and 2020)

11

- Despite the fact that children are being exposed to levels of microwave radiation that causes harm even to adults; despite the fact that EHS is recognized by federal and State agencies and by courts as a physical, medical condition, and as a disability; despite the thousands of peer-review scientific studies documenting the symptoms of EHS and other harm caused by exposure to radiation; and despite that EHS is reaching pandemic proportions, most doctors are in the dark or in denial, and continue misdiagnosing and mistreating with harmful drugs and dangerous invasive procedures symptoms that disappear by reducing exposure to microwave radiation. (William J. Rea, M.D., History of Chemical Sensitivity and Diagnosis, Rev Environ Help 2016, DOI:10.1515/reveh-2015-002)

**Furthermore, the Texas Attorney General, Ken Paxton, admitted in court, the collusion of the Governor of Texas, Greg Abbott, with the U.S. Department of Education, with school officials, and with more than 25 judges, the fraud on the court to conceal:**

1. That school children are being exposed to harmful radiation, including radiation above the FCC standards, and to the same microwave radiation that caused harm to teachers, fire fighters, police officers, and other adults.
2. That the compulsory and unnecessary exposures to microwave radiation at school are causing children EHS and brain, eye, blood, nerve, heart and DNA damage, and other severe, catastrophic, and irreversible harm and disabilities that defeats the purpose of education.
3. And that a massive medical malpractice, and a massive Medicaid and Medicare fraud, are masking the harm being caused by microwaves to children, teachers, the disabled, and other defenseless and unsuspecting victims. (Mendoza v. Paxton, Case No. F-1591-11-A, 92<sup>nd</sup> District Court, Hidalgo County, Texas, 2019)

I am willing to testify under penalty of perjury before any tribunal to the facts that are of my personal knowledge and that are stated herein (by phone, health permitting, due to the fact that I have been home bound for more than 10 years with life-threatening EHS).

Respectfully,

Jesus Mendoza

Phone Number: 956-583-7012

June, 2021

This document was transcribed into electronic form with assistance.

12

Fw: FCC wireless guidelines successfully challenged

whiteeagle2@protonmail.com <whiteeagle2@protonmail.com>

Fri 8/13/2021 5:08 PM

To: Antonio Cantu <acantu@vailrgv.org>

Please print out for Jesus.

Thank you.

## **‘Historic Win’: CHD Wins Case Against FCC on Safety Guidelines for 5G and Wireless**

*The U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit court ruled the Federal Communications Commission must provide a reasoned explanation for its determination that its current guidelines adequately protect against harmful effects of exposure to radiofrequency radiation.*

By Children's Health Defense Team



Link copied

**Children's Health Defense won its historic case against the Federal Communications Commission.**

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit published its decision Aug.13. The court ruled that the FCC failed to consider the non-cancer evidence regarding adverse health effects of wireless technology when it decided that its 1996 radiofrequency emission guidelines protect the public's health.

The court's judgment states:

"The case be remanded to the commission to provide a reasoned explanation for its determination that its guidelines adequately protect against harmful effects of exposure to radiofrequency radiation..."

CHD Chairman and attorney on the case Robert F Kennedy, Jr. said:

"The court's decision exposes the FCC and FDA as captive agencies that have abandoned their duty to protect public health in favor of a single-minded crusade to increase telecom industry profits."

CHD's case was consolidated with another similar case that was filed by the Environmental Health Trust. The organizations filed joint briefs in the case.

CHD's lead attorney for the case, Scott McCollough, a telecommunication and administrative law attorney who represented the petitioners in the hearing, said:

"This is an historic win. The FCC will have to re-open the proceeding and for the first time meaningfully and responsibly confront the vast amount of scientific and medical

13a

evidence showing that current guidelines do not adequately protect health and the environment.

The court's decision continued to say:

"...the FCC completely failed to acknowledge, let alone respond to, comments concerning the impact of RF radiation on the environment...The record contains substantive evidence of potential environmental harms."

The petitioners in the case filed 11,000 pages of evidence of harm from 5G and wireless technology which the FCC ignored, including evidence of already existing widespread sickness.

Attorney Dafna Tachover, CHD's director of 5G and Wireless Harms Project, who initiated and led the case for CHD, said:

"The FCC will finally have to recognize the immense suffering by the millions of people who have already been harmed by the FCC's and FDA's unprecedented failure to protect public health. Finally the truth is out. I am hopeful that following this decision, the FCC will do the right thing and halt any further deployment of 5G."

The court ruling was a two-to-one panel decision. Judge Robert Wilkins wrote the majority opinion. Judge Patricia Millett joined him and Judge Karen Henderson, who presided over the panel, issued a dissent.

CHD President Mary Holland said:

"The U.S. Court of Appeals decision in CHD's case against the FCC reaffirms my faith in the judiciary. In these chaotic days, courts can still hold out the hope for sober-minded decisions according to the rule of law. I eagerly await FCC action in compliance with the court's ruling."

This historic case was filed by CHD on Feb. 2, 2020. The case challenged the agency's decision not to review its 25-year-old radio-frequency emissions (RF) guidelines which regulate the radiation emitted by wireless technology devices (such as cell phones and iPads) and infrastructure (cell towers, Wi-Fi and smart-meters), and to promulgate biologically and evidence-based guidelines that adequately protect public health.

In 1996, the FCC adopted guidelines which only protect consumers from adverse effects occurring at levels of radiation that cause thermal effects (temperature change in tissue), while ignoring substantial evidence of profound harms from pulsed and modulated RF radiation at non-thermal levels. The FCC hasn't reviewed its guidelines or the evidence since, despite clear scientific evidence of harm and growing rates of RF-related sickness.

In 2012, the Government Accountability Office of Congress published a report recommending the FCC reassess its guidelines. As a result, in 2013 the FCC published an inquiry to decide whether the guidelines should be reviewed. It opened docket 13-84 for the public to file comments.

136

Thousands of comments and scientific evidence by scientists, medical organizations and doctors, as well as hundreds of comments by people who have become sick from this radiation were filed in support of new rules. Nevertheless, on Dec. 4, 2019, the FCC closed the docket and published its decision, affirming the adequacy of its guidelines without proper assessment of the comments or the evidence.

The lawsuit, called a Petition for Review, contends that the agency's decision is arbitrary, capricious, not evidence-based, an abuse of discretion and in violation of the Administrative Procedures Act (APA).

CHD's lawsuit was joined by nine individual petitioners. Petitioners include Professor David Carpenter MD, a world-renowned scientist and public health expert who is co-editor of the BioInitiative Report, the most comprehensive review of the science on RF effects; physicians who see the sickness caused by wireless radiation in their clinics; and a mother whose son died of a cell phone-related brain tumor.

CHD's lawsuit was filed in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. However it was transferred to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit where it was joined with a similar lawsuit filed by the Environmental Health Trust and Consumers for Safe Cell Phones. The main brief and the reply brief were filed jointly by all petitioners.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Families for Safe Technology" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to [families-for-safe-technology+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com](mailto:families-for-safe-technology+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com).

13C



NEXT PAGE

(NOTICE: When reading this comment for me, J. Mendoza, in a public meeting, you may omit paragraphs 7 & 8 (highlighted) in order to comply with a 3-minute limit for the public comment.)

## **ELECTRO-HYPERSENSITIVITY – EHS AWARENESS**

Ladies and Gentlemen,

[1]... My name is Jesus Mendoza. I have been homebound for more than ten years with life-threatening Electrohypersensitivity, EHS, which is caused and aggravated by exposure to the same microwaves and radiation surveillance reaching children and teachers at school. I have been violently ill several times. I am sleep deprived and in pain all the time. Every day it is a different torture. This is a horrible way to die.

[2]... My EHS has been recognized by federal and state agencies and by courts as a physical medical condition, and as a disability. EHS proves conclusively that exposure to levels of radiation below the federal safety limits causes severe harm and disabilities, and that exposing children to microwave radiation defeats the purpose of education.

[3]... It is estimated that without knowing it, more than 50% of the population is already suffering symptoms of EHS. Children, teachers, doctors, attorneys, and even a Director of The World Health Organization are suffering from EHS. Please see [WeAreTheEvidence.org](http://WeAreTheEvidence.org)

[4]... Despite the fact that Electrosensitivity is reaching pandemic proportions, most doctors are in the dark, or in denial, and continue misdiagnosing and mistreating with harmful drugs and invasive procedures, symptoms that disappear with just reducing exposure to microwave radiation.

[5]... A law firm filed a federal lawsuit with evidence of how cell phones used by our children emit more than 5 times the levels allowed by federal law, when even short doses of radiation above the federal safety limits cause harm, even to healthy and strong adults. And seven law firms are warning school officials of the potential civil and criminal liability of exposing children unnecessarily to harmful microwave and 5G radiation, when inexpensive hardwired broadband Internet is readily available.

[6]... Some of the symptoms of EHS include pain; swelling of vital organs; swelling of face, head and eyes; symptoms of heart attack and stroke; rashes and loss of skin; flu and allergy symptoms; stomach and digestive troubles; insomnia, vision, hearing, memory, speech, concentration and breathing problems.

[7]... According to experts, Electrosensitivity is not an isolated set of symptoms, but ongoing injuries to the immunological and neurological systems, which can be aggravated by exposure to microwave radiation and are potentially irreversible.

[8]... Other symptoms of Electrosensitivity include headache, palpitations, arrhythmia, chest pain, high and low blood pressure, slow or rapid heart rate, seizures, paralysis, unconsciousness, nosebleeds, internal bleeding, fever, tremors, involuntary movements,

dizziness, nausea, irritability, anxiety, fatigue and weakness, muscle and joint pain, painful cramps, numbness, tooth pain, gum swelling and blisters, tingling/itching of skin, burning and dry eyes, eye pressure, blurred vision, sinusitis, asthma, testicular and ovarian pain, dry lips, tongue and mouth; inordinate thirst, dehydration, altered blood sugar levels, impaired sense of smell, childhood cancer, miscarriages and birth defects.

[9]... Scientific studies prove most doctors are confounding the symptoms of Electrosensitivity with symptoms of other illnesses, including autism, ADHD, dyslexia, PTSD, heart attack, stroke, multiple sclerosis, fibromyalgia, hypothyroidism, Parkinson's, Alzheimer's, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic fatigue syndrome, diabetes, and major depression and suicidal tendencies.

[10]... The above is detailed in the request to protect the children submitted to the secretary of the U.S. Department of Education, Dr. Miguel Cardona, and posted on the case materials of J. Mendoza at WirelessWatchBlog.org

[11]... Ladies and Gentlemen, your prompt response to this evidence can save the lives of children and teachers and other defenseless and unsuspecting victims. Thank you very much for giving serious consideration to this matter.

Respectfully,  
Jesus Mendoza

(Dear Superintendent, please provide a copy of this comment to the president and members of the Board of Trustees, to principals, teachers, nurses and parents. Thank you very much for your help.)

*This document was transcribed  
from mechanical to electronic  
format with assistance*

## OPEN LETTER TO THE JUDICIARY.

Dear Judge,, scientific evidence has proven that unlimited use of wireless devices is causing children Electrohypersensitivity EHS, brain damage,, and other severe physical harm. Please consider the following facts when adjudicating cases of child misbehavior, since orders limiting the use of wireless devices can protect our children and can prevent recidivism.

- A) Use of cell phones is exposing children to microwave and ULF/ELF radiation at power levels exceeding the federal safety guidelines which cause harm even to adults. (1)
  - B) Exposure to this radiation has caused harm to teachers, to fire fighters, to police officers and to other adults. (2)
  - C) Use of wireless devices is exposing children to more than 250,000 uW/m<sup>2</sup> of microwave radiation, and exposure to less than 150,000 uW/m<sup>2</sup> of microwave radiation can cause EHS,, and brain, eye, blood, nerve, heart,, and DNA damage even to adults. (3)
  - D) Chronic exposure to the radiation emitted by wireless devices increases by 500% the childrens risk of cancer and increases by 450% the risk of dying to children suffering of life-threatening illnesses. (4)
  - E) Addiction to wireless devices increases by more than 150% the risk of suicide and can cause the same problems caused by the use of drugs. (5)
  - F) In my family, several children were born with brain damage. The common thing in these children is that their mothers were users of cell phones while pregnant. The number of children with brain damage has increased from 1 in 10,000 to 1 in 50,, and experts warn that the use of microwave devices by children and pregnant women is bound to leave no child without brain damage,, and that by the time parents become aware of this danger, we are bound to lose many children. (6)
- Consideration of these facts during your efforts to protect our children is highly appreciated. If more evidence is necessary, I will be happy to oblige.

Respectfully,

*José Mendoza*

Tel. (956)583-7012

### THE EVIDENCE

- 1) On Oct. 2015,, U.S. District Judge Edward Chen corroborated the fact that cell phones emit power levels of microwave radiation exceeding the federal safety guidelines. Cell phones emit more than 975 mG,, L. Hardell and Carlberg, Mobile Phones, Cordless Phones and the Risk of Brain Cancer,, Intl. Journal of Oncology 35 n 11 (July 2009). These levels cause harm even to adults, The Bioinitiative Report (2007) pgs 6-9.
  - 2) Petition to Impeach 3 Federal Judges pgs 16,17 posted on the section of Case Law and J. Mendoza at wirelesswatchblog.org
  - 3) Petition pgs 16-19, Id. See Open Letter to Parents and School Officials.\*
  - 4) Section II of the Bioinitiative Report (2007, 2012)..
  - 5) Carleigh Cooper, Cell Phones and the Dark Deception (2009) pg 97; Lynn McLean, The Force (2009) pgs 55,66,67; Katie Singer, An Electronic Silent Spring (2014) pgs 106,108,124,184.
  - 6) Kerry Crofton, A Wellness Guide for the Digital Age (2014) pgs 14,15,39; Autism Awareness in the Valley, the Monitor, April 6, 2014 at 13D.
- \* Attached.

# German judge is electrosensitive, seeks refuge in MF-White Zone

An electrosensitive judge from Augsburg finds refuge in the Wehra Valley (German Black Forest).

An electrosensitive (EHS) judge from Augsburg finds refuge in the Wehra Valley (German Black Forest)

Rain splattered on the roof of the little white camper. Although Barbara has turned up the heater, the cold of winter creeps along the ground and relentlessly wraps around our feet. Barbara Domberger is on a forced vacation in Wehra Valley. The rugged gorge is one of the few havens left for the electrosensitive.

She recall she once still had a few secluded holiday areas where she could retire, but gradually, they have disappeared. "The digital network is currently our biggest problem," she says. The 43-year-old District Judge is on the run - from harmful emitters, mostly microwaves from mobile phone networks. "I've become electrosensitive," she says.

The judge is convinced : when she's exposed to artificial electromagnetic fields for too long, like those from cordless phones or wireless Internet connections, she gets sick. She feels artificially invaded, unable to sleep and concentrate. "And all my muscles harden." Her family doctor says it's from too much stress.

It took three or four years of suffering before an old school friend put her on the right track, says Domberger. Back then the concept of electrical sensitivity was unknown. That's changed: Domberger has read many studies on her condition and has developed contacts with people who share her plight. She chairs the Munich Society for the electrosensitive and mobile-phone injured.



Barbara Domber in his camper

She is very convincing when she easily refutes the arguments of the mobile phone industry. She knows that many prefer sticking her with a psychosomatic label. If that was the case, then how can one explain that her heart rate changes as soon as she enters a room filled with radiation? Domberger says it's a clear medical evidence.

Fear of radiation dominates her life. The once-successful young woman had to abandon her condominium with 17 a square-meter rooftop terrace near downtown Augsburg. "The apartment couldn't be shielded," she says. Her furniture is stored. She's looking for a small apartment in low-radiation area, which she could shield with special reflective paint.

She only goes out when necessary. For shopping, of course. But a movie or the theater? "I used to like going to restaurants," says Domberger. Today, she even turns down birthday invitations, because almost everyone has a cordless phone at home. "I miss the contact with ordinary people," she says with a sigh.

Since January 2010, the District Judge is on sick leave. The state of Bavaria is considering putting her on permanent disability.

Barbara Domberger was in charge of the elderly and disabled. She'd love to work again. "Being a judge was my dream job." For eight years, she worked at the District Court of Augsburg, and before that six years as a prosecutor, also for the Federal Ministry of Justice. Now she's fighting for electrosensitivity to be recognized as a disease and to obtain help for those affected.

Today she's resting in the Wehra Valley. "Here I feel really good, as I did before," she says with a smile.

Very little radiation gets through where she parked her white camper, as opposed to airports or railway stations. A holiday trip in the hot South? Only by car. For longer trips, she bought a special blouse, woven with reflective silver fiber. It cost her 90 Euros. "Most people with EHS can't afford it," says Domberger who asked us not to divulge the exact location of her retreat.

16

3

# No Place To Hide

Volume 3, Number 3

June 2002

## Gro Harlem Brundtland, Director of World Health Organization: "Cell Phones, Computers Make Me Ill"

"It's not the sound, but the waves I react to. My hypersensitivity has gone so far that I even react to mobile phones closer to me than about four meters," says Gro Harlem Brundtland.

She is the Director-General of the World Health Organization (WHO), and she was talking to Aud Dalsegg, who interviewed her for the cover story of the Norwegian newspaper *Dagbladet* on March 9, 2002.

The former Prime Minister of Norway never owned a mobile phone herself, but she often received calls on her associates' phones. Now she says there is reason to be cautious about the technology.

"In the beginning I felt a local warmth around my ear," she told Dalsegg. "But the problem grew worse, and turned

into a strong discomfort and headaches every time I used a mobile phone." At first she tried to avoid the pain by cutting her calls short, but this did not work. Nor was it sufficient to stop using the phones herself, because everyone around her, including at her workplace at the WHO in Geneva, uses them.

"I gradually understood that I had developed a sensi-

tivity to this type of radiation.

"And in order not to be suspected of being hysterical—that someone should believe that this was only something I imagined—I have made several tests: People have been in my office with their mobile phone hidden in their bag or pocket. Without my knowing whether it was off or on, we have tested my reactions. I have always reacted when the phone has been on—never when it is off. So there is no doubt."

As for wireless home phones, Brundtland said, "I get an instant reaction if I touch such a phone."



She also spoke about her reactions to computers.

"If I hold a laptop in order to read what is on the screen, it feels as if I get an electric shock up through my arms. So I must keep portable computers away from me. I have a regular desktop computer in my office, but only the secretary uses it. I have not noticed the same symptoms near it, but I turn it off as soon as I come in."

The headaches she gets from mobile phone radiation subside about a half hour to an hour after the exposure stops, she said.

A medical doctor and master of public health, Brundtland gained international recognition in the 1980s for championing the principle of sustainable development as chair of the World Commission on Environment and Development (the Brundtland Commission). In October 1996 she stepped down as Prime Minister of Norway, after being head of her government for more than ten years. She has headed the World Health Organization since July 12, 1998.


Brundtland was careful, in the interview, to say that the danger from mobile phones has not been scientifically proven: "We do not at present have enough scientific evi-

*continued on page 6*

# Ex-WHO General-Director and Ex-Prime Minister of Norway ^ Wireless Technology Has Negative Health Effects. There Is No Doubt.

On Friday the 14th of August 2015, retired WHO General-Director and Prime Minister of Norway, Dr. Gro Harlem Brundtland, was interviewed by the Norwegian newspaper Aftenposten (<http://www.aftenposten.no/nyheter/iriks/Brundtland---Min-kropp-har-reagert-pa-mobilstraling-i-25-ar-8125147.html>). One of the issues raised in the interview was her current thoughts on wireless radiation. Her statement was crystal clear. Above is the relevant clip from the interview, subtitled in English by Citizens' Radiation Protection, Norway. We are grateful to Gro, for her clear and honest response.

Ex-WHO General Director Warns: "Wireless Tech..."



BioEM 2015 Meeting, June 14-19,  
2015 18  
Plenary Session presentation by

# ELECTROSMOG DOCTOR

## The doctor who diagnosed her own electrosensitivity

GP Dr Elizabeth Evans turned her health around after discovering she was sensitive to electrosmog. Here's her story, as told to Guy Hudson, and what you can do to treat electrosensitivity

I had just had my fourth child, and already had three other children aged under six, when I started to notice that I couldn't sleep, felt 'wired', and was becoming more and more anxious.


After 12 weeks, when the baby started sleeping through the night, I continued to have problems sleeping. We then moved to rented accommodation and my symptoms got worse. That first night I could not get to sleep. Eventually I slept for about two hours and, when I awoke, I had a racing heart. It felt like an anxiety attack but in my body, not my head.

Over the next few weeks, I became increasingly bad-tempered and irritable. I noticed I was forgetting words when talking on the cordless phone and, when sitting next to our router, I felt a pressure sensation in my head and ear. For the first two weeks our landline wasn't connected, so I had to use my mobile a lot; my laptop also had a 3G dongle.

I was getting headaches and felt pressure in my head whenever I used a cordless phone, and if someone was using a mobile nearby in the playground, I would feel pain in the muscles across my back. But whenever I went for a walk in the middle of the countryside, I began to feel normal again.

### Something in the air

Eventually I realized that something in my environment was causing these symptoms and began to work it out: we had a new digital baby monitor and a new Wi-Fi router directly below our bed. I was using a mobile-phone dongle on my laptop and our rented house was in direct view of a mobile-phone mast. By then I was severely incapacitated. I was sensitive to the electrosmog in town and became almost housebound trying to



avoid wireless radiation. Luckily I was able to identify the problem because the time between my symptoms easing or going away and any reduced exposure to electrosmog was short. It's far more difficult to identify electrosmog as the trigger if your symptoms take a long time to appear. Soon I was able to really see which signals were causing the problem.

I suspect I was slightly electrosensitive before the symptoms really hit me; I had always resisted Wi-Fi and didn't like using my mobile phone.

After my fourth pregnancy and

breastfeeding, I was clearly run down and susceptible, and the massive increase in my exposure to wireless radiation coinciding at this time was the likely cause of my dramatic symptoms. I couldn't go to other people's homes; I couldn't even be in our living room because of the neighbour's Wi-Fi. Some areas of the house made me feel particularly unwell whereas other places, like the hall, were fine and I naturally gravitated to them. Subsequently we were able to confirm that microwave levels were lower in these neutral areas.

### Detective work

Once I suspected I was sensitive to electrosmog, I began searching on the internet to find out more about electrosensitivity and its symptoms—which range from headaches, heart arrhythmias, depression and mood swings to lack of concentration, allergies and even tinnitus.

We also immediately got rid of the Wi-Fi router and got a wired router instead and, after throwing away the baby monitor, my symptoms started to improve. We then had an electromagnetic survey done and got advice on how to further avoid ambient microwaves. I avoided hotspots and also tried various forms of shielding. I bought specially designed clothes from the US made from materials that block radiation, which helped me on the school run. Next we concentrated on the bedroom, where we installed a bed net and put shielding material over the



## Once I started sleeping better, my health and tolerance of wireless radiation outside the home began to improve

windows; if you create a radiation-free sleeping environment, you have the best chances of healing.

Like many electrosensitive people, I had had a previous environmental condition—in my case, two years of myalgic encephalomyelitis/chronic fatigue syndrome (ME/CFS) in the 1990s—from which I fully recovered by addressing adrenal fatigue through a combination of nutritional therapy, homeopathy, acupuncture and osteopathy.

That experience made me realize that the body has an amazing power to heal itself when given the right support and nourishment; it also gave me an interest in the various complementary treatments available.

I joined the British Society of Ecological Medicine in 1999 and attended several of their teaching modules on allergies and environmental and nutritional medicine over the last few years. With my four children, I have learned a lot about the natural approach to health by treating their various illnesses and health complaints.

Once I started sleeping better, my

health and tolerance of wireless radiation outside the home began to improve. I then began an intensive nutritional/supplement programme to support my adrenal glands. This often consists of boosting key hormones like cortisol, insulin and aldosterone by eating lots of eggs, dairy, nuts and seeds, and good fats like butter and coconut oil, while avoiding sugar (including from too much fruit) and refined carbs like white bread and rice.

### The final piece of the puzzle

Supplementing with B-complex vitamins, and *Chlorella* and wild yam can also help. I also found that Nambudripad's Allergy Elimination Techniques (NAET)—an East-meets-West blend of non-invasive healing practices like spine manipulation and acupressure/acupuncture to clear away

allergies and sensitivities of all sorts (see box, top right)—speeded up my recovery considerably. The final piece of the healing jigsaw was moving to our currently electromog-free home.


Since then, I have trained as an NAET practitioner, as I was very excited by the dramatic effect it had on my health. Most NAET practitioners in the US are qualified medical doctors, often allergy specialists whereas, in the UK, most are complementary practitioners.

I would advise anyone with an environmental

**What is NAET?**

NAET (pronounced 'nate') stands for Nambudripad's Allergy Elimination Technique, and was developed by Dr. Nambudripad, a chiropractor and acupuncturist based in California. The drug-free system uses kinesiology to diagnose, and acupressure/acupuncture to treat, allergic-type responses. NAET defines an allergy as a negative reaction to any agent that for most people would be neutral or even beneficial. It is a technique that can help with most conditions, not just the usual food allergies and hay fever.

NAET seeks to reduce allergic reactions and sensitivities by balancing and unblocking of (chi) energy to help the body heal itself, using a blend of techniques like acupuncture/acupressure, chiropractic, nutrition and kinesiology. One allergen is treated at a time. It may take several visits to desensitize a severe allergen. Beginning in 1983, over 12,000 practitioners all over the world have been trained by Dr. Nambudripad.



or chronic illness to clear their home of all wireless and other electromagnetic-field-generating devices. They can stress out your system, which reduces your ability to heal.

One reason why conventional doctors generally overlook the possibility of electrosensitivity when diagnosing their patients' complaints is that they usually confine their questions to their patients' symptoms, not their environment. They are not trained to ask about the presence of baby monitors, Wi-Fi and cordless phones, and the use of mobiles, and they never visit their patients' homes.

Without this line of questioning, it's virtually impossible to identify whether a patient is electrosensitive.

And as the idea of electrosensitivity is not taught in medical school, unfortunately most doctors are unaware of it.

Physicist Guy Hudson is a electromagnetic surveyor who helps consumers lower their exposure to excessive radiation and protect themselves from electrosensitivity, particularly to dirty electricity. See [www.ben.co.uk](http://www.ben.co.uk) and [www.Es.org.uk](http://www.Es.org.uk) for more information.

### All about Dr Liz

Elizabeth now leads a very full life as the mother of four children and as a NAET practitioner, working with patients who have a wide range of conditions, including environmental allergies, chronic fatigue and electrosensitivity. She has also cofounded Stop Smart Meters (UK) ([www.stopsmartmeters.org.uk](http://www.stopsmartmeters.org.uk)), an organization with links to other Stop Smart Meters movements worldwide. This campaigning group aims to stop the use of meters that monitor, measure and communicate your gas, electricity and water usages to utility providers wirelessly through constant bursts of microwaves, 24/7.

In this role, she gave evidence last year to the House of Commons Select Committee overseeing the UK Smart Meters rollout on the adverse health effects of wireless radiation. She is also medical advisor to the Safe Schools Information Technology Alliance (SSITA; [www.ssita.org.uk](http://www.ssita.org.uk)), which campaigns against Wi-Fi and mobile phones in schools to protect children from the adverse effects of wireless radiation, and has given lectures on these topics on several occasions. She can be contacted on [lizlurch@hotmail.com](mailto:lizlurch@hotmail.com). Other British GPs who recognize and treat electrosensitivity include Dr Erica Mallory-Blythe (based in the US) and Somerset-based Dr Andrew Tresidder.

Commonwealth of Massachusetts  
Testimony in Support of:

H.2030 An Act relative to best management practices for wireless in schools and public institutions of higher education

S.2079 An Act reducing non-ionizing radiation exposure in schools

Submitted By: XXXXXXXXXXXX, MD, DTM&H on 9.4.2017

Submitted To: Joint Committee on Education

Committee Members, Sponsor, Co-Sponsors, MA Department of Public Health, MA Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, MA Attorney General's Office and Governor Baker's Office:

Barbara.l'Italien@masenate.gov; Jason.Lewis@masenate.gov;  
Mike.Barrett@masenate.gov; Patricia.Jehlen@masenate.gov;  
Patrick.OConnor@masenate.gov; Sonia.Chang-Diaz@masenate.gov;  
Alice.Peisch@mahouse.gov; Bud.Williams@mahouse.gov; Chris.Walsh@mahouse.gov;  
Chynah.Tyler@mahouse.gov; Diana.DiZoglio@mahouse.gov;  
James.Cantwell@mahouse.gov; James.Kelcourse@mahouse.gov;  
John.Rogers@mahouse.gov; Kimberly.Ferguson@mahouse.gov;  
Paul.Tucker@mahouse.gov; Rep.Smittty@mahouse.gov; Michael.Moore@masenate.gov;  
Angelo.Puppolo@mahouse.gov; Jack.Lewis@mahouse.gov;  
Carolyn.Dykema@mahouse.gov; Robert.Knorr@state.ma.us; Monica.Bharel@state.ma.us;  
Erin.Collins@state.ma.us; Aaron.Carty@masenate.gov;  
agocommunityengagement@state.ma.us; Donald.Boecke@state.ma.us; odl@doe.mass.edu;  
Kenneth.A.Klau@state.ma.us;

Dear Esteemed Legislators and Public Servants,

I commend you for addressing the concerns of your highly educated constituents about the health hazards of wireless technology in the classroom. Thank you for your careful consideration of H. 2030 and S. 2079 to ensure safe use of technology in our schools and classrooms.

Despite industry campaigns to create controversy in the peer reviewed literature via "creative study design"<sup>1</sup>, the current weight of the evidence demonstrates obvious harm from wireless technologies. The sum of quality, peer reviewed evidence amassed since the 1950's is so great, that denial of this evidence is like denying of the laws of gravity.

As a former medical school assistant professor and internist, I have spent the bulk of my 20-year career caring for extremely sick, hospitalized patients, and teaching medical students and residents clinical medicine. I have published in the peer reviewed literature, served as a peer

---

<sup>1</sup> Many industry funded studies are of short duration, and/or low levels of radiation exposure. Short duration studies would not be powered to prove an endpoint like cancer, which takes a long time to develop in a given patient.

reviewer, and taught hundreds of medical students and residents how to critically evaluate the medical literature. I have read extensively on the health impacts of electromagnetic fields (EMF's), and am highly qualified to opine on this topic.

This testimony, however, I write as the mother of two electrosensitive children who have been harmed by wireless technology, and are no longer able to attend school. By telling our story, I hope to prevent other children and their families from being harmed as we have: physically, socially, emotionally and mentally, and to give you an understanding of the human consequences of omnipresent Wi-fi and radiofrequency radiation (RFR) in our schools.

I am a law abiding, tax-paying resident of XXXXXXXXXX. Yet, my children ages 6 and 9, with physician diagnosed electromagnetic intolerance, have absolutely no access to an education, in either public or private school. In August 2017 both kids became ill in their respective classrooms, after only 3 days of school, and were unable to return. I am currently not able to find a school (without Wi-fi and not under a cell tower) that doesn't make them ill. As a result, they will need to be homeschooled this year, unless the school district reconsiders our request for 504 accommodations that was denied last month.

#### My daughter:

In May of 2016, my 2<sup>nd</sup> grade daughter reported immediate onset dizziness, nausea, and vertigo whenever the smart board was used in her classroom. With longer exposures (i.e. when the teacher screened movies on the smart board), she reported confusion, with very intense nausea and dizziness. As the year progressed, she developed short term memory loss and had marked behavioral changes. The first week of summer, she attended a camp under multiple cell towers, and after only 2 hours, she developed what was later recognized as acute radiation toxicity. She manifested all the school symptoms, plus more severe neuropsychiatric issues, many of which lasted for months, including: hyper-somnolence, akathisia, a tic disorder, extreme emotional lability/crying for no apparent reason, outbursts of anger and chronic dizziness. During this period, she was acutely sensitive to cell phone radiation/Wi-Fi/ cell towers/and other types of RFR. Of note, when levels of RFR were subsequently measured in her classroom, the power density was extremely high: 125,000  $\mu\text{W}/\text{M}^2$ , a level clearly associated with many ill health effects, including DNA damage, behavioral changes, and concentration difficulties). She has recovered, thankfully, but she remains sensitized to RFR when exposed. After only 3 days in school this year she was too dizzy and nauseous to return to the school she loved.

#### My son:

In December 2016, after starting a new school, my son developed new onset, autism-like behavioral changes that were progressive. With each passing week at the new school, he became progressively more aggressive and violent, attacking his sister many weeknights by punching and kicking her for no apparent reason. He also regressed developmentally and was no longer willing to dress/undress or wash himself. He had frequent headaches and severe difficulties with concentration most days after coming home from school. After two months of school, he began attacking other family members, including me, and his grandmother who lived with us. He had fits of rage in which he was very difficult to restrain physically. During these fits, he was often destructive. In February, he kicked a sliding glass shower door so hard it broke, and

later fell on my foot crushing several toes. In early March, after our daughter offhandedly mentioned that the school bus made her very dizzy, we decided to experiment and see if taking both kids off the bus would change anything. Both kids were angry about this decision, but shockingly, after 24 hours of not riding the bus, my son's violence and aggression stopped entirely. There was no further aggression in the home, apart from several episodes that occurred after heavy radiation exposures outside the home, and once the school year was over, my son was back to his normal, sweet self. After only 2 days of restarting school last month, my son immediately became aggressive towards his sister.

#### **Background:**

Both kids are developmentally normal and completely healthy. When they are exposed to RFR, they become very ill, both in different ways. We were only able to connect my son's behavior with RFR exposure because of his sister's observations. In 2016 we noticed that every time we would go to certain stores, like Home Depot or Target, my son would walk in the store behaving normally, but after a few minutes, he would go crazy: kicking, yelling, throwing himself on the floor. It got so bad that I made a point of never going to those places with him because it was too embarrassing. One day his sister said, "You understand what is happening here, right mom? Whenever I get dizzy in a store, he gets crazy – it's the radiation!" Indeed, as we subsequently observed our son in various environments, her observation was correct.

#### **We know RFR is the problem because our home is a white zone:**

Our home has been free of RFR since my daughter was diagnosed in 2016. We removed our Smart meter, cancelled the alarm service, exchanged Wi-fi for a wired ethernet connection, and made the other necessary changes to create an environment that would allow our daughter to heal from radiation toxicity. We do not use cell phones anywhere near the kids, and keep them in airplane mode most of the day. We bought low EMF<sup>2</sup> cars, that we personally measured before buying, to ensure the kids wouldn't be exposed to RF or heavy magnetic fields in the car. Since most Americans live in homes/drive cars that are electromagnetically polluted and kids carry phones that irradiate them 24/7, it is very difficult for parents to make a connection between behavioral changes and/or health issues and RFR. Simply put, parents never get to see what their kids are like when unexposed, even for even a few days.

#### **We are the tip of the iceberg:**

Had we not figured out that RFR was the cause of my son's behavioral changes and violent aggression, he undoubtedly would have ended up institutionalized and medicated. Possibly for life. Currently, there are many kids being medicated for psychiatric conditions, who may not have any medical problem aside from physiologic manifestations of non-ionizing radiation exposure(RFR).

#### **Next steps:**

Massachusetts has an incredible opportunity to lead the nation in creating solutions for safe technology use in school. You have seen the catastrophe of the opioid crisis, and I am grateful

---

<sup>2</sup> EMF-electromagnetic field

for how quickly you developed the political will to address it. Wi-fi in schools is hazardous to the cells in every one of our children and staff members, regardless of whether they can "feel it" or not. I urge you to take swift political action to protect our school children and staff now, at the start of this school year. I assure you that it will be easier and more cost effective to act now, before the onslaught of lawsuits begins (filed by parents of injured children, teachers, and school bus drivers<sup>3</sup> injured at school and in the workplace), than after countless people are injured. We can connect you with experts to help your schools develop a short-term plan to reduce all non-emergency-related exposures in school, and long-term plans to remove Wi-fi and establish hard-wired infrastructure. Thank you for your careful consideration of this urgent health matter. Please report out of committee favorably and promptly H.230 *An Act relative to best management practices for wireless in schools and public institutions of higher education* and S.2079 *An Act reducing non-ionizing radiation exposure in schools*. Please don't hesitate to contact me if I can provide additional information.

Respectfully,


XXXXXXXXXXXX, MD

---

<sup>3</sup> Bus drivers worldwide are being exposed to extremely high levels of RFR, quickly making bus driving a risky occupation because: 1) Passengers carry multiple cell phones, and operate them inside an enclosed metal space (the bus) which greatly amplifies the emitted radiation. 2) Cell phones, if used inside a vehicle that is moving rapidly, emit even greater amounts of RFR when searching for the next cell tower. 3) Some buses even have Wi-fi, again if in an enclosed metal space, which will reflect and amplify the RFR. Our children's school bus carried only elementary schoolers, with only 3 or 4 cell phones, but the result was a very high level of RFR for the driver and students. A similar bus carrying high schoolers would probably have 10 or 20 cell phones turned on at any given time, leading to extreme exposure levels for the driver. Please consider school bus policies that will protect the drivers and passengers, in addition to in-school policies.


# Read The Fine Print

*All cell phones and wireless devices instruct that they should be held away from your body.*



"Usage precautions during 3G connection : Keep safe distance from pregnant women's stomach or from lower stomach of teenagers. Body worn operation: Important safety information regarding radiofrequency radiation (RF) exposure. To ensure compliance with RF exposure guidelines the Notebook PC must be used with a minimum of 20.8 cm antenna separation from the body."

*Samsung 3G Laptop Manual*




"To be sure that human exposure to RF energy does not exceed the FCC, IC, and European Union guidelines, always follow these instructions and precautions: Orient the device in portrait mode with the Home button at the bottom of the display, or in landscape mode with the cellular antenna away from your body or other objects."

*Apple iPad Manual*




"Keep the BlackBerry device at least 0.59 in. (15 mm) from your body (including the abdomen of pregnant women and the lower abdomen of teenagers) when the BlackBerry device is turned on and connected to the wireless network."

*Blackberry Bold 9930 Manual*



"To be sure that human exposure does not exceed the FCC guidelines, always follow these instructions... keep iPhone at least 15 mm (5/8 inch) away from the body, and only use carrying cases, belt clips, or holders that do not have metal parts and that maintain at least 15 mm (5/8) inch separation between the iPhone and the body." To view the information on your iPhone go to Settings > General > About > Legal > RF Exposure.

*iPhone 4 Instructions*




"Caution: Exposure to Radiofrequency Radiation: The device shall be used in such a manner that the potential for human contact normal operation is minimized. This equipment complies with FCC radiation exposure limits set forth for an uncontrolled environment. This equipment should be installed and operated with a minimum distance of 20cm between the radiator and your body."

*Belkin WIFI Router Manual*



"In order to avoid the possibility of exceeding the FCC radio frequency exposure limits, human proximity to the antenna shall not be less than 20 cm (8 inches) during normal operation."


*HP WiFi Printer Manual*



"Caution: To Comply with FCC RF exposure compliance requirements, a separation distance of at least 20 cm must be maintained between the antennae of this device and all persons."

*Summer Baby Monitor Manual*

1

**OVARIES**

- 400,000 FOLLICLES
- 400 TO MATURE
- 14 EACH CYCLE TO PRODUCE EGG(S) WHICH CAN BE FERTILIZED

**CHILD 'A' 5-16 YEARS EXPOSED TO WI-FI IN SCHOOL**  
Possible damage to first and subsequent generations

Microwave irradiation can cause oxidative and nitrosative stress to mitochondria - this DNA is 10x more susceptible to low level chronic microwave radiation than other DNA.

Low histone protein content i.e. mitochondriopathy  $N_2$ ,  $O_2$  is essential for brain / immune system, any DNA damage is irreparable and can pass to every female hence forth.

57.7%

2



**CHILD 'B' FOETUS FROM CHILD 'A' NOW AS A PREGNANT STUDENT/ADULT**  
With possible DNA damage

- 100 days for follicles to form: no definite structure thence 150+120 d. to mature
- No protein 53 (x4) to fight radiation
- No nuclear core complex (x30) proteins for defence
- No factor 1 protein\* (apoptosis)
- Of 100,000 protein structures only 600 are known

7d = 100 Cells

28d = Heart

\*40d = Eye

47d = fingers / toes

Body is initially

inside out, i.e.

major organs are

the most irradiated

Woman may not know

she is pregnant at this

stage: Hence no

precautions taken

\* PHOTSENSITIVE GANGLIONS  
... ABSORB RAD: EFFECT BODY  
FUNCTIONS

3



**CHILD 'B' IS NOW PREGNANT CHILD 'C'**  
Adult Child C may already have been irradiated

- Every aspect of Child 'C's life has been at maximum risk from stages 1, 2 & 3.
- The greatest risk is yet to come. Biggest danger from school wi-fi irradiation on students and teachers

1st 56 days is when all embryos are most vulnerable. During the first 4-6 weeks, the mother may not know she is pregnant, therefore will not shield the embryo from radiation

25+ years

# Newly Published Studies on Electrical Sensitivity

## Details of the California EMF Program's Survey

In the September 2000 issue of *No Place To Hide* we gave a preliminary report on the California EMF Program's survey on electrical sensitivity. The full text, which was released July 13, 2001 as an appendix to the program's Risk Evaluation, contains more epidemiological data about ES than has ever been gathered before.

These data were the results of telephone interviews with

a random sampling of 2,072 Californians. 68 respondents (3.3%) said they were electrically sensitive, while 503 respondents (24.3%) said they were chemically sensitive. In addition, 3.6% of the respondents had medically diagnosed chemical sensitivity.

Of the respondents reporting electrical sensitivity, 60% also reported chemical sensitivity. Of the respondents reporting chemical sensitivity, only 8.4% also reported electrical sensitivity.

15.6% of the electrically sensitive respondents had changed jobs because of their electrical environment.

Extrapolation to the entire population of California means that an estimated 120,000 adult Californians have changed jobs as a result of their electrical sensitivity, said the authors of the study.

The accompanying table compares the characteristics of persons with electrical sensitivity (ES), persons with chemical sensitivities (MCS), and the average participant in this survey.

The California EMF Program has operated since 1993 as a part of the California Department of Health Services.

## Health Effects

### Near Cell Towers

The numbers of the affected are far greater than previously recognized.

Dr. Roger Santini analyzed the responses to a questionnaire of 530 residents of France who live at varying distances from cell towers. 104 of these respondents live less than 10 meters from a cell tower. Another 109 live more than 300 meters from the nearest tower, and the rest live at varying distances in between. Universally, the closer to a cell tower they live, the more likely are these people to complain of: fatigue, irritability, headache, nausea, loss of appetite, sleep

	persons with ES %	persons with MCS %	general population %
<b>Age, Years</b>			
18-24	11.8	9.9	10.6
25-34	23.5	21.3	23.5
35-44	25.0	23.3	25.1
45-54	16.2	17.5	16.7
55-64	5.9	15.0	10.3
>65	17.6	13.0	13.9
<b>Gender</b>			
Male	41.2	29.1	49.6
Female	58.8	70.8	50.4
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>			
White	28.4	52.2	60.4
Black	3.0	7.2	5.4
Hispanic	46.3	31.8	25.3
Other (Asian, etc.)	22.4	8.7	8.9
<b>Education</b>			
<12 years	33.8	19.7	13.9
high school graduate or some college	44.2	52.5	50.1
university graduate	22.1	27.3	36.0
<b>Employment Status</b>			
Employed	45.4	54.9	65.6
Not working	40.7	41.1	31.5
Unable to work	13.6	3.6	3.0
<b>Income (\$/year)</b>			
<15,000	41.3	26.7	17.5
15,000-24,000	22.2	16.9	13.9
>25,000	36.6	56.4	68.5
<b>Health Plan</b>			
Yes	61.8	76.7	81.7
No	28.2	23.3	18.3
<b>Disease History</b>			
Asthma	13.2	28.3	13.8
Hay Fever/Allergies	61.8	72.6	49.8
Medically Diagnosed Chemical Sensitivity	19.1	8.3	3.6



[Print](#)

## Recommendations for Accommodations

People with chemical and/or electromagnetic sensitivities can experience debilitating reactions from exposure to extremely low levels of common chemicals such as pesticides, cleaning products, fragrances, and remodeling activities, and from electromagnetic fields emitted by computers, cell phones, and other electrical equipment.

The severity of sensitivities varies among people with chemical and/or electromagnetic sensitivities. Some people can enter certain buildings with minor accommodations while others may be so severely impacted that they are unable to enter these same spaces without debilitating reactions. Furthermore individual tolerances to specific exposures can vary greatly from one individual to the next. Meanwhile some exposures, such as the application of certain pesticides or extensive remodeling, for example, may be devastating to all chemically sensitive people and make a building or facility inaccessible for a substantial period of time.

According to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and other disability laws, public and commercial buildings are required to provide reasonable accommodations for those disabled by chemical and/or electromagnetic sensitivities. These accommodations are best achieved on a case-by-case basis.

Reasonable accommodations for a chemically sensitive and/or electromagnetically sensitive individual can include providing a space or meeting area that addresses one or more of the Cleaner Air criteria, upon request, such as


- Remove fragrance-emitting devices (FEDS)
- Delay or postpone indoor or outdoor pesticide applications, carpet cleaning, or other cleaning or remodeling until after the meeting
- Provide room or meeting area near exterior door or with window(s) that can be opened
- Require cell phones and computers be turned off
- Provide incandescent lighting in lieu of fluorescent lighting
- Provide at least one nonsmoking, fragrance-free person per shift to provide services (e.g. nurse, police officer, security guard, clerk )

For individuals who are unable to use or meet in a building or facility, or who are too severely impacted by chemical and/or electromagnetic exposures to use a designated Cleaner Air Room, accommodations may include:

- Meet an individual at the door or outside to conduct business
- Allow a person to wait outside or in car until appointment
- Provide a means, such as a phone, intercom, bell, or buzzer to summon staff to an outside door for assistance
- Permit business to be conducted by phone, fax, mail, or e-mail rather than in person
- Allow participation in a meeting by speakerphone

## Medical pioneer writes a history of environmental hypersensitivities


André Fauteux | 1 août 2016 | 0 Commentaires



Dr Rea founded the Dallas-based, Environmental Health Center in 1974.

Errors in diagnosis and treatment of diseases are common in physicians ignoring the principles of environmental medicine. They should be aware that various tests developed since the early 1980s "take the guess work out of the diagnosis" of chemical and electrical and electromagnetic hypersensitivity, writes Dr William J. Rea in a new paper published in Reviews on Environmental Health<sup>1</sup>. A practising physician for 53 years, the American allergist, immunologist and thoracic surgeon has performed such tests on more than 30,000 patients in the last 35 years at the Environmental Health Center-Dallas (EHCD) he founded and still heads in Texas. These tests must be performed in controlled environments where the levels of biological, chemical and electromagnetic pollution has been reduced to a minimum using notably air filters, inert construction materials and copper shielding.


While food intolerance was first described by Hippocrates more than 2,000 years ago, microwave-illness (known today as electromagnetic hypersensitivity) was only first described in 1932 by Dr Erwin Schliephake who diagnosed patients overexposed to AM radiofrequencies; and American allergist Theron Randolph first described chemical hypersensitivity in 1962 based on tests performed in a controlled environment.



Dr Rea speaking in Brussels in 2015 at a conference on environmental sensitivities. Download slides at : [http://appel-de-paris.com/?page\\_id=1667&lang=en](http://appel-de-paris.com/?page_id=1667&lang=en) (Click to enlarge)

Dr Rea's article explains principles such as total body pollutant load and masking of sensitivities by the general adaptation syndrome first described by University of Montreal endocrinologist Hans Selye, the first scientist to demonstrate the existence of biological stress. Biochemical individuality, the switch phenomenon (symptom variation), bipolar responses, spreading reactions to other organs, and nerve as well as head injury leading to hypersensitivity are the other principles discussed. Out of up to 3,000 psychological profiles performed by psychologists Butler and Didriksen at the University of North Texas on chemically sensitive patients, about "2,000 showed brain injury, not psychological conditions".

Buildings and rooms free of offgassing materials and electromagnetic fields (EMFs) are key to diagnosing and treating environmental hypersensitivities. "Clean living accounts for 60-75% of treatment", writes Dr Rea. At a conference on environmental hypersensitivities last year in Brussels, he once saw a patient return to the EHCD two decades after he had successfully treated her: "She got cocky and returned to her old toxic lifestyle."



Volatile solvent blood test results. (Click to enlarge)

He explains in the article abstract: "The clinician has to use less-polluted water and organic food with individual challenges for testing, including dust, mold, pesticide, natural gas, formaldehyde, particulates, and EMF testing, which needs to be performed in less-polluted copper-screened rooms. The challenge tests for proof of chemical sensitivity include inhaled toxics within a clean booth that is chemical- and particulate-free at ambient doses in parts per million (ppm) or parts per billion (ppb). Individual foods, both organic and commercial (that are contaminated with

herbicides and pesticides), are used orally. Water testing and intradermal testing are performed in a less-polluted, controlled environment. These include specific dose injections of molds, dust, and pollen that are preservative-free, individual organic foods, and individual chemicals, i.e. methane, ethane, propane, butane, hexane, formaldehyde, ethanol, car exhaust, jet fuel exhaust, and prosthetic implants (metal plates, pacemakers, mesh, etc.). Normal saline is used as a placebo. EMF testing is performed in a copper-screened room using a frequency generator. In our experience, 80% of the EMF-sensitive patients had chemical sensitivity when studied under less-polluted conditions for particulates, controlled natural gas, pesticides, and chemicals like formaldehyde."

### ORAL VITAMINS

VITAMINS B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>3</sub>, B<sub>5</sub>, B<sub>6</sub> - 100 MG DAILY.

FOLIC ACID - 1 MG - TWO TIMES PER WEEK

VITAMIN E 400 - 1200 UNITS DAILY

B-CAROTENE 10,000 - 50,000 UNITS DAILY.

Typical prescriptions for vitamin-deficient patients with environmental hypersensitivities. (Click to enlarge) Besides blood and urine analysis, Dr Rea and other members of the American Academy of Environmental Medicine perform various other tests: brain SPECT scans detecting brain toxicity, heart rate variability and pupillography measuring autonomic nervous system disturbances, infrared thermography, nutrient levels showing abnormal detoxification mechanisms, various immune modulation tests and breath analysis.

This article should be read by all physicians and health care practitioners.

1. History of chemical sensitivity and diagnosis, Rev Environ Health 2016, DOI: 10.1515/reveh-2015-002 Review by André Fauteux, Editor of *La Maison du 21e siècle (21st-century Housing)* magazine [www.maisonsaine.ca/english](http://www.maisonsaine.ca/english)

Articles similaires:

1. Smart Meters: Correcting the Gross Misinformation
2. Bioresonance : healing with waves
3. Microwaves can cause cancer or be beneficial - Prof. Igor Belyaev

Mots-clé: chemical, electrohypersensitivity, electromagnetic, microwave, mold, pesticides, solvents  
Catégorie: Articles en anglais, Hypersensibilités environnementales



# Environmental Health Center — Dallas

8345 Walnut Hill Lane - Suite 220, Dallas, Texas 75231 • Telephone: (214) 368-4132 — Facsimile: (214) 691-8432  
 Website: www.ehcd.com E-mail: contact@ehcd.com

William J. Rea, M.D.  
 F.A.C.S., F.A.A.E.M.,  
 F.A.C.N., F.A.C.P.M.,  
 F.A.C.A., F.R.S.M.

Board Certified in  
 Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery  
 Abdominal and General Surgery  
 Environmental Medicine

Ron Overberg, Ph.D., C.C.N., R.D. / L.D.  
 Nutrition

Carolyn Gorman, M.A.  
 Patient Education

May 8, 2017

To Whom It May Concern:

RE: [REDACTED]

Ms. [REDACTED] was initially seen in my office on February 4, 2015 for the evaluation of chief complaints including headache, chest pain, and electro magnetic frequency sensitivity.

## Physical Examination:

Significant physical examination findings revealed abnormal balance test while performing the tandem gait test with the eyes closed. Inspection of the head revealed non-tender sinuses. Inspection of the eyes revealed pupils that were equal and reactive to light. No nystagmus was noted. Conjunctivae were clear. Sclerae were white and clear. Inspection of the ears revealed tympanic membranes that were translucent and non-bulging. Ear canals were pink and without discharge. Inspection of the nose revealed normal mucosa and turbinates. Numerous metal dental fillings. Inspection of the throat revealed normal tonsillar pillars and posterior fossa. The neck was noted to be supple, with no lymphadenopathy or carotid bruits. Auscultation of the heart revealed a regular rate and rhythm, with no murmurs or ejection clicks. Auscultation of the lungs revealed clear bilateral breath sounds. Auscultation of the abdomen revealed normal bowel sounds and palpation revealed no tenderness or organomegaly. No muscle fasciculations or tenderness was noted. The patient had normal bipedal pulses with no noted cyanosis.

## Diagnosis:

My diagnoses for Ms. [REDACTED] are Seizures, Implant Reaction, Vasculitis, Autonomic Nervous System Dysfunction, Electromagnetic Frequency Sensitivity, Headaches, and Chest Pain.

## Discussion:

Electromagnetic Field Sensitivity is a very complex and difficult to understand medical condition. We have undertaken a multiphase single and double-blind study to find an effective method to evaluate electromagnetic field sensitivity. This involves setting up controlled testing conditions, electromagnetic field challenges both in single and double-blind situations and rechallenging of affirmed positive patients. The study confirmed the presence of electromagnetic field sensitivity and there was a 100 percent non-reaction to placebos in the different phases of the test. It is clear that electromagnetic field sensitivity is a real phenomenon and environmentally sensitive patients. It is evident that electromagnetic field testing is at a rudimentary stage and further studies needed to document this. This is a condition that should be considered and not ignored in the overall treatment of this patient. Specific details about the study are included with this letter.

21  
32

Many patients exhibit health problems and experience symptoms when exposed to electrical stimuli. This is due in part to the patient's bodily response to antigens.

The body functions through cellular and intracellular changes in electrical parameters. Electrical impulses originate in the sino-atrial node of the heart and initiate heart muscle contraction. Cells assimilate and excrete through osmotic changes created by differences in electrical potential. The brain communicates and functions through electrical impulses carried from one nerve synapse to another.

This intricate function of the body can be affected by exposure to coherent electro- magnetic fields created by power transmission and usage. In sensitive individuals, exposure to exceedingly low frequencies can create disruption in homeostasis.


Individuals who in the past may have experienced chronic or low level electro-magnetic exposure or acute high level exposure may exhibit symptoms resembling a feeling of shock, muscle spasms, or seizures. Others who have sensitivities to pollen, molds, and foods may experience symptoms related to the problems of electrical stimulation such as a change in the pulse rate.

Power frequency electric and magnetic fields are produced by power lines, power stations, electricity distribution faults, electrical appliances in the home or workplace, house wiring, cables, cars, trains, television, lights, computers, laptops, cell phones and towers etc.

The treatment for this condition involves environmental controls, avoidance of unnecessary or excess electro-magnetic stimulation, and management of inhalant and food sensitivities.

If you have any questions, please call my office at (214) 368-4132.

Sincerely,



William J. Rea, M.D., F.A.C.S., F.A.A.E.M.

WJR/tp

**EMF references:**

- o Anders Ahlbom, Elisabeth Cardis, Adele Green, Martha Linet, David Savitz, Anthony Swerdlow (December 2001). *"Review of the Epidemiologic Literature on EMF and Health"*. Environ Health Perspect. 109 (S6)
- o Myron Maslanyj, Terry Mee, David Renew, J Simpson, P Ansell, Stuart Allen, Eve Roman (March 2007). *"Investigation of the sources of residential power frequency magnetic field exposure in the UK Childhood Cancer Study"*. J. Radiol. Prot. 27 (1): 41-58. DOI:doi:10.1088/0952-4746/27/1/002.
- o Maria Feychting, Anders Ahlbom, F Jonsson, NL Pederson (July 2003). *"Occupational magnetic field exposure and neurodegenerative disease"*. Epidemiology 14 (4): 413-
- o Niklas Hakansson, P Gustavsson, Birgitte Floderus, Christof Johansen (July 2003). *"Neurodegenerative diseases in welders and other workers exposed to high levels of magnetic fields"*. Epidemiology 14 (4): 420-6.
- o Anders Ahlbom (2001). *"Neurodegenerative diseases, suicide and depressive symptoms in relation to EMF."*. Bioelectromagnetics (Suppl 5): S132-43.

117 33

- Aalto S, Haarala C, Brück A, Sipilä H, Hämäläinen H, Rinne JO (July 2006) "*Mobile phone affects cerebral blood flow in humans*". J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 26(7):885-90
- Cao Z, Liu J, Li S, Zhao X (March 2000) "*Effects of electromagnetic radiation from handsets of cellular telephone on neurobehavioral function*". [Article in Chinese] Wei Sheng Yan Jiu 29(2):102-103
- Eulitz C, Ullsperger P, Freude G, Elbert T (October 1998) "*Mobile phones modulate response patterns of human brain activity*". Neuroreport. 9(14):3229-32
- Freude G, Ullsperger P, Eggert S, Ruppe I (1998) "*Effects of microwaves emitted by cellular phones on human slow brain potentials*". Bioelectromagnetics. 19(6):364-7
- Freude G, Ullsperger P, Eggert S, Ruppe I (January 2000) "*Microwaves emitted by cellular telephones affect human slow brain potentials*". Eur J Appl Physiol. 81(1-2):18-
- Koivisto M, Krause CM, Revonsuo A, Laine M, Hämäläinen H (June 2000) "*The effects of electromagnetic field emitted by GSM phones on working memory*". Neuroreport. 11(8):1641-3
- Krause CM, Sillanmäki L, Koivisto M, Häggqvist A, Saarela C, Revonsuo A, Laine M, Hämäläinen H (December 2000) "*Effects of electromagnetic fields emitted by cellular phones on the electroencephalogram during a visual working memory task*". Int J Radiat Biol. 76(12):1659-67
- Krause CM, Sillanmäki L, Koivisto M, Häggqvist A, Saarela C, Revonsuo A, Laine M, Hämäläinen H (March 2000) "*Effects of electromagnetic field emitted by cellular phones on the EEG during a memory task*". Neuroreport. 11(4):761-4
- Huber R, Treyer V, Schuderer J, Berthold T, Buck A, Kuster N, Landolt HP, Achermann P (February 2005) "*Exposure to pulse-modulated radio frequency electromagnetic fields affects regional cerebral blood flow*". Eur J Neurosci. 21(4):1000-6
- Abdel-Rassoul G, El-Fateh OA, Salem MA, Michael A, Farahat F, El-Batanouny M, Salem E (March 2007). "*Neurobehavioral effects among inhabitants around mobile phone base stations*". Neurotoxicology. 28(2):434-40
- Santini R, Santini P, Danze JM, Le Ruz P, Seigne M (July 2002). "*Investigation on the health of people living near mobile telephone relay stations: I/Incidence according to distance and sex*". Pathol Biol (Paris). 50(6):369-73



Go to ... [Complete Reference](#) | [Help](#) | [Logoff](#)

☐ Citation 3

**Accession Number**

093070410

**Authors**

Rea W J. Pan Y. Fenyyes E J. Sujisawa I. Samadi N. Ross G H.

**Institution**

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CENT., DALLAS 8345 WALNUT HILL LANE, SUITE 2052,  
DALLAS,  
TEX. 72231.

**Title**

ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD SENSITIVITY.

**Source**

Journal of Bioelectricity 10 (1-2). 1991. 241-256.

**Abstract**

A multiphase study was performed to find an effective method to evaluate electromagnetic field (EMF) sensitivity of patients. The first phase developed criteria for controlled testing using an environment low in chemical, particulate, and EMF pollution. Monitoring devices were used in an effort to ensure that extraneous EMF would not interfere with the tests. A second phase involved a single-blind challenge of 100 patients who complained of EMF sensitivity to a series of fields ranging from 0 to 5 MHz in frequency, plus 5 blank challenges. Twenty-five patients were found who were sensitive to the fields, but did not react to the blanks. These were compared in the third phase to 25 healthy naive volunteer controls. None of the volunteers reacted to any challenge, active or blanks, but 16 of the EMF-sensitive patients (64%) had positive signs and symptoms scores, plus autonomic nervous system changes. In the fourth phase, the 16 EMF-sensitive patients were rechallenged twice to the frequencies to which they were most sensitive during the previous challenge. The active frequency was found to be positive in 100% of the challenges, while all of the placebo tests were negative. We concluded that this study gives strong evidence that electromagnetic field sensitivity exists, and can be elicited under environmentally controlled conditions.



35



# Comparing Smart Meter Radiation Levels to the Scientific Studies

Author	Level (uW/m2)	Effects	% of FCC Guidelines
FCC	6,000,000	FCC Exposure Limits, 30 min public exposure based on heating	
CCST	1,800,000	Smart Meter at 1 foot distance	30%
CCST	200,000	Smart Meter at 3 foot distance	3%
Philips (98)	60,000	RF induced DNA damage in cells	
Kwee (01)	52,500	20 minutes of RFR at cell tower frequencies induced stress response	
Dumansky (74)	50,000-100,000	RF caused impaired nervous system activity	
Boscole (01)	50,000	RF caused drop in NK lymphocytes (immune function decreased)	
Chiang (89)	40,000 - 150,000	RF slowed memory/learned immune function in children	
Tattersall (01)	40,000	RF caused changes in hippocampus, part of brain memory, learning	
Magras (97)	30,000	RF caused irreversible infertility (in mice at 3 generations)	
Dinzeo (98)	20,000 - 40,000	RF changed cell membrane acetylcholine-induced ion channels	
Adventano (10)	20,000	RF decreased sperm motility and increased DNA fragmentation	
Kesari (08)	20,000	RF induced double-strand DNA damage in rat brain cells	
CCST	18,000	Smart Meter at 10 foot distance	0.50%
Dolk (97)	13,000 - 57,000	RF caused doubling of leukemia in adults	
Pyrasopoulou (04)	12,500	RF exposure affected kidney development in rats (in utero exposure)	
Simonenko (98)	10,000	Headache, dizziness, irritability, fatigue, weakness, insomnia, chest pain, difficulty breathing, indigestion	
Novoselova (99)	10,000	RF affected functions of the immune system	
Persson (97)	10,000	RF induced pathological leakage in blood-brain barrier	
Fesenko (98)	10,000	RF caused significant effect on immune function (mice)	
Akeev (02)	9,000-100,000	RF caused emotional behavior changes (free-radicals)	
Dutta (86)	6,000	Change in calcium ion efflux from brain tissue	
Schwartz (80)	3800	RF affected calcium metabolism in heart cells	
CCST	3,000	Smart meter at 30 Foot Distance	0.01%
Kolodynski (96)	3200	RF negatively affected memory, attention, motor function of schoolchildren	
Vorobyov (10)	3000	RF affected neurological system, brain function	
Wolf (04)	3000	RF from cell towers: 10x increased risk of cancer in women with short latency	
Wolke (86)	2500	RF affected calcium concentrations in heart muscle cells	
Hocking (00)	2,000-80,000	RF decreased survival in children with leukemia	
Hocking (96)	2,000-80,000	RF caused two-fold increase in leukemia in children	
Magras (97)	1700	RF caused irreversible infertility (in mice at 5 generations)	
Nitby (07)	1500	RF reduced memory function in rats	
Dolk (97)	1300-5700	Exposure to AM RF caused 2x Leukemia in adults	
Zwamborn (03)	1300	RF from 3G cell towers decreased cognition, well-being	
Sleek	1000	RF 15 mos exposure to rats led to derogatory behavior, cannot distinguish familiar vs unfamiliar objects	
Papageorgiou (11)	638	Decreased cognitive function	
Serhyuk (80)	600	Slowing of heart, change in EEG in rabbits	
Dumansky (74)	800	Altered EEG, disturbed carbohydrate metabolism, enlarged adrenals, altered hormonal levels, structural changes to liver, spleen, testes, brain	
Khurana (10)	500-1000	RF related to adverse neuro, cardiac symptoms and cancer risk	
Rassoul et al. (00)	300-500	RF from cell towers caused headaches, memory changes, depressive symptoms, sleeping problems	
Grigorev (95)	300	RF produced anxiety and alarm in rabbits	
CCST	180	Smart Meter at 100 Foot Distance	0.003%
Oberfeld (04)	165-4400	RF (cell tower) = sleep disorders, poor concentration, fatigue	
Navakathan (84)	100-500	RF induced behavioral disruption in mice, rats	
Oberfeld (04)	100-1000	RF from cell towers: Significant increase in breast cancer and brain tumours	
Navarro (03)	100-1100	RF from cell towers caused fatigue, headaches, sleeping problems	
Kundi (09)	100-500	RF related to headache, concentration and sleep problems, fatigue	
Hutter (06)	100-500	RF caused headaches, concentration problems, sleeping problems	
Powenwatch	48	Median level, 15 US cities 1977	

LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT  
Division of Risk Management and Insurance Services  
Integrated Disability Management

John E. Deasy, Ph.D.  
Superintendent of Schools

Enrique G. Boull't  
Chief Operating Officer



Dawn C. Watkins, Director  
Integrated Disability Management

September 18, 2014

Anura F. Lawson  
2619 S. Holt Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90034

Secondary Teacher  
Employee # 770882  
Johnnie Cochran Middle School

Dear Ms. Lawson:

The Reasonable Accommodation Committee convened July 22, 2014 and August 12, 2014 to further engage in the interactive process regarding your request for an accommodation. According to your application you requested to continue using your LAUSD laptop without wired wall connection for all internet connections and to allow to teach in Wi-Fi free classroom. You also specifically requested to teach in the top floor of 400 building of your current school, Johnnie Cochran Middle School, without any Wi-Fi connections.

After your initial meeting with the Committee wherein you attended in person, the Committee reconvened on September 9, 2014 after obtaining additional information from various departments to reach a decision. Serving as members of the Committee were: Isaias Martinez, Field Director, Staff Relations; Beth Harker, Certificated Personnel Specialist; Lori Dietrich, Specialist, Compliance Support and Monitoring; and Dina Bobadilla-Aguilar, Return to Work Specialist. David Greco, Assistant General Counsel; Paul Aguilar, Legal Assistant, and I participated as resource members to the Committee.

The Committee appreciated your participation in person. During the meeting there was discussion regarding your reasonable accommodation request. You informed the Committee that you have been a LAUSD employee since 2002, and only worked at Johnnie Cochran Middle School as an English Teacher. You indicated due to your medical condition it is best to keep Wi-Fi off in the classroom. You further stated that you have worked in classroom wherein the Wi-Fi was turned off for the last two years at Johnnie Cochran Middle School; however, you were moved to a new classroom wherein the Wi-Fi is turned on effective 2014-2015 school year. You indicated your

333 South Beaudry Avenue - 28<sup>th</sup> Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90017

Email: [disabilitymanagement@lausd.net](mailto:disabilitymanagement@lausd.net) Website: <http://reasonableaccommodation.lausd.net>

Telephone: (213) 241-7630 Fax: (213) 241-6778 TTY: (213) 241-6882

37

Anura F. Lawson  
September 18, 2014  
Page 2

medical condition does not prevent you from working with a laptop or desktop that connects to a regular internet box. You also told the Committee the Common Core can be utilized on any PC and an iPad is not necessary.

The Committee reconvened September 9, 2014. After reviewing and taking into consideration all of the documentation you submitted as part of your request, the information you presented during the meeting, and reviewing alternate accommodations, the Committee approved your request to have the Wi-Fi turned off in your classroom during the 2014-2015 school year. As an alternate accommodation, the Committee also approved a reassignment to a different school site where Wi-Fi has yet to be installed. If you wish to avail yourself to a reassignment, please contact Dina Bobadilla-Aguilar or me.

If you wish to appeal the decision of the Reasonable Accommodation Committee you may contact the Educational Equity Compliance Office at (213) 241-7682. The completed appeal application must be received in their office within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of the Committee's decision.

If you are later reassigned or your needs change and you require assistance with your accommodation(s) please discuss with your supervisor and contact our office.

If you have any questions, please contact Dina Bobadilla-Aguilar at (213) 241-2668 or me at (213) 241-7630.

Sincerely,

  
Demetrius Patrick, Disability Coordinator  
Reasonable Accommodation Program

C: Cheryl Broussard, Supervising EEO Investigator, OGC  
Jan Davis, Administrator of Operations, Educational Service Center – West  
Sergio Franco, Interim Director, Certificated Placement and Assignments  
Julie Hall-Panameño, Director, Educational Equity Compliance  
Rosa Mercado, M.D., Medical Director, Employee Health Services  
Gilberto Samuel, Principal, Johnnie Cochran Middle School  
Cynthia Williams, Operations Coordinator, Educational Service Center - West

DP:dba

38



## American Academy of Environmental Medicine.

6505 E Central • Ste 296 • Wichita, KS 67206  
Tel: (316) 684-5500 • Fax: (316) 684-5709  
[www.aaemonline.org](http://www.aaemonline.org)

### Executive Committee

March 19, 2013

#### President

Amy L. Dean, D.O., FAAEM  
1955 Pauline Blvd Ste 100D  
Ann Arbor, MI 48103

Los Angeles Unified School District  
333 S Beaudry Ave #24  
Los Angeles, CA 90017

#### President-Elect

Doris Rapp, M.D., FAAEM  
8179 E. Del Cuarzo Dr.  
Scottsdale, AZ 85258

The American Academy of Environmental Medicine comprises Medical Doctors, Osteopaths and PhD researchers focusing on the effects of environmental agents on human health. For forty years the Academy has trained Physicians to treat the most difficult, to heal patients who are often overlooked by our medical system because the cause of their illness is a chemical, solvent, or toxic metal, not a bacteria, virus or other traditionally understood cause.

#### Secretary

Jennifer Armstrong, M.D., FAAEM  
3364 Carling Ave.  
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

#### Treasurer

James W. Willoughby, II, D.O.  
24 Main St.  
Liberty, MO 64068

In recent years our members and colleagues have reported an increase in patients whose symptoms are reversible by eliminating wireless radiating devices in their homes such as cell phones, cordless phones and wireless internet systems.

#### Immediate Past President

A.L. Barrier, M.D., FAAO-HNS

There is consistent emerging science that shows people, especially children are affected by the increasing exposure to wireless radiation. In September 2010, the Journal of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine - Fertility and Sterility reported that only four hours of exposure to a standard laptop using WiFi caused DNA damage to human sperm.

#### Advisor

William J. Rea, M.D., FAAEM  
Gary R. Oberg, M.D., FAAEM

In May 2011, the World Health Organization elevated exposure to wireless radiation, including WiFi, onto the Class 2b list of Carcinogens.

#### Board of Directors

Craig Bass, M.D.  
Martha Grout, M.D., MD(H)  
Janette Hope, M.D., FAAEM  
W. Alan Ingram, M.D.  
Derek Lang, D.O.  
Allan D. Lieberman, M.D., FAAEM  
Lisa Nagy, M.D.  
Kalpana D. Patel, M.D., FAAEM

In October 2012, the AAEM issued a public warning about WiFi in schools that stated:

"Adverse health effects from wireless radio frequency fields, such as learning disabilities, altered immune responses, and headaches, clearly exist and are well documented in the scientific literature. Safer technology, such as use of hard-wiring, is strongly recommended in schools."

#### Continuing Medical Education

##### Chair

James W. Willoughby, II, D.O.  
24 Main St.  
Liberty, MO 64068

In December 2012, the American Academy of Pediatrics - representing 60,000 pediatricians, wrote to Congress requesting it update the safety levels of microwave radiation exposure especially for children and pregnant women.

##### Assistant-Chair

Allan D. Lieberman, M.D., FAAEM  
7510 Northforest Dr.  
North Charleston, SC 29420

The WiFi systems in schools are typically hundreds of times more powerful than the home consumer systems you may be familiar with. They are also dozens of times more powerful than the cafe and restaurant systems you may have been exposed to. The WiFi systems in schools are necessarily more powerful than any microwave communication systems in any other setting because they are required to run hundreds of computers simultaneously. They are also exposing children - the

Los Angeles Unified School District | Page 2

most vulnerable to microwave radiation - to extended periods all day, for their entire childhood. This is an unprecedented exposure with unknown outcome on the health and reproductive potential of a generation.

To install this system in Los Angeles risks a widespread public health question that the medical system is not yet prepared to answer.

In October 2013, the AAEM is organizing an international medical conference in Phoenix AZ to teach doctors how to identify patients whose symptoms can be reversed by eliminating exposure to WiFi, cell phones and other forms of wireless radiation in the home.

It is unlikely that there are currently enough doctors in Los Angeles County familiar with the biological effects of microwave radiation to diagnose and treat the numbers of children who will potentially become symptomatic from exposure to your wireless system should you elect to install it. Statistics show that you can expect an immediate reaction in 3% of your students and time-delayed reactions in 30% of them. This will also include teachers.

The American Academy of Environmental Medicine suggests strongly that you do not add to the burden of public health by installing blanket wireless internet connections in Los Angeles schools. Hardwired internet connections are not only safer, they are stronger, and more secure.

Children who are required by law to attend school also require a higher level of protection than the general public. You may be directed by technology proponents that the science on the human health effects of WiFi is not yet certain. This uncertainty is not a reason to subject a generation of children to such extreme exposure. Rather, it is the foundation upon which caution must be exercised to prevent a potential public health disaster.

While technicians and sales staff argue about the validity of the dangers posed by cell towers, cell phones, WiFi and other forms of wireless radiation, it is the doctors who must deal with the fall out. Until we, as doctors, can determine why some of our patients become debilitately sick from WiFi and other microwave communications, while others do not, we implore you not to take such a known risk with the health of so many children who have entrusted you to keep them safe while at school.

Respectfully,

The Executive Committee of the American Academy of Environmental Medicine

216



United States  
Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board

1331 F Street, NW • Washington, DC 20004-1111 • 202-272-5434 (Voice) • 202-272-5449 (TDD) • 202-272-5447 (FAX)

October 26, 2000

Mr. Nelson French, Director  
White Mountain Catholic Charities  
Saint Anthony's Convent  
6 N. McQuatters Avenue  
P.O. Box 552  
McNary, Arizona 85930

Dear Mr. French:

I am writing regarding The Dispossessed Outreach Project's plans to seek assistance from the Arizona Department of Commerce to develop housing for people with multiple chemical sensitivities and electrical sensitivities in West Yavapai County. The Access Board is a federal agency whose mission is to promote accessibility for people with disabilities. We develop accessibility guidelines for the built environment, transit vehicles, and telecommunications equipment, and standards for electronic and information technology. Our guidelines are adopted by other federal agencies as enforceable standards under the Americans with Disabilities Act, Architectural Barriers Act, and Telecommunications Act. We also enforce accessibility standards for federally funded facilities.

Over the years, the Access Board has learned about the very important needs of people with multiple chemical sensitivities and electrical sensitivities. We have heard from thousand of people across the country who are sensitized to chemicals and electromagnetic, radio, and cell phone emissions and who cannot safely use facilities because of chemicals released from building materials and furnishings, exposure to certain types of electrical equipment and systems, and cleaning products and pesticides commonly used in operating and maintaining buildings. The Access Board is initiating a major project to examine the needs of people with multiple chemical sensitivities and electrical sensitivities as they relate to indoor environmental quality, and to develop an action plan to address those needs. We will be involving people with multiple chemical sensitivities and electrical sensitivities, the building industry, and other federal agencies, including the Environmental Protection Agency, in the project. We also are developing technical assistance materials that will focus on best practices for addressing the needs of this population.

Based on our experience, I can state that there definitely is a need for specially planned and designed housing for people with multiple chemical sensitivities and electrical sensitivities. There are many people with these and related disabilities whose condition is so severe that they cannot live in conventional housing. We recently visited Ecology House, an 11-unit apartment:

41  
The Access Board

RECEIVED

OCT 30 2013


MCALLEN CENTRAL 7-9-15

217

development in San Rafael, California; talked with its residents; and learned first hand about the special design and construction practices that have made the project habitable for people who could not tolerate other housing. The housing project being planned by The Dispossessed Outreach Project would build on the experiences of Ecology House and meet a real need for healthy and affordable housing by people who have no other choices.

If I can be of further assistance, please call me at (202) 272-5451.

Sincerely,



James J. Raggio  
General Counsel

42  
267  
RECEIVED

OCT 30 2013

MCALLEN CENTRAL F.O.

3x/16

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

HEIDI CREMER,  
Plaintiff  
v.  
JOHN J. CALLAHAN, Ph.D.,  
Acting Commissioner, Social  
Security Administration  
Defendant

CIVIL ACTION  
NO. 97-30040-KPM

DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM

The defendant, the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration, by his attorney, Donald K. Stern, United States Attorney for the District of Massachusetts, files this supplemental memorandum in accordance with the court's order of October 22, 1997.

The defendant has moved for remand of this case for further proceedings. After oral argument, the Court ordered that the defendant file a supplemental memorandum stating whether the Social Security Administration recognizes multiple chemical sensitivity as a medically determinable impairment. This memorandum addresses that point.

The Social Security Administration has agreed to stipulate that it recognizes multiple chemical sensitivity as a medically determinable impairment. This stipulation is limited to the general recognition of the condition. The Social Security

Administration cannot stipulate at this juncture that the plaintiff in this case suffered from multiple chemical sensitivity as of December 31, 1992, the date that her insured status expired. The defendant seeks remand for further evaluation of the plaintiff's claimed disability during the relevant period, including further evaluation of medical and vocational evidence.

For these reasons and for the reasons set forth in the defendant's initial memorandum, the defendant respectfully requests that this case be reversed and remanded to the Commissioner for further proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

DONALD K. STERN  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

Karen L. Goodwin  
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY  
1550 Main Street  
Springfield, MA 01103  
413-783-0235

Dated: October 31, 1997

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing was mailed this date, postage prepaid, to Catherine M. Hancock, Sullivan & Hancock, One Campus Lane, Easthampton, MA 01087.

Karen L. Goodwin  
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY



NEXT PAGE

## PHYSICIAN'S STATEMENT

(Please type or print)

Texas Transportation Code §521.125 allows the Texas Department of Public Safety to include on an individual's driver license or identification card any health condition that may impede the individual's communication with a peace officer. The health condition must be evidenced by this signed statement from a licensed physician. Medical information provided under this program is not protected and is subject to release under the Public Information Act. By providing this information, the health condition as stated on this form will be printed on the reverse side of the driver license or identification card.

Patient's Full Name: Jesus Mendoza

Patient's Date of Birth: 05/24/1954

Patient's DL/ID#: [REDACTED]

Physician: Alfred R. Johnson

Physician's Address: 997 Hampshire Lane Richardson, Texas 75080

Physician's Office Telephone No.: (972) 479 0400; 800 807 7555

Medical License No.: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_

Health Condition: Electrohypersensitivity and Chemical sensitivity

Patient's Signature: Jesus Mendoza

Date: 6/17/2016

Physician's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 1/1

*Impeach  
impediment  
to when exposed to wireless radiation or chemical odors*  
ID No. 41138274  
45

*[Signature]*

Jesus Mendoza



# LAURA HINOJOSA

## HIDALGO COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK

### Juror Disability & Medical Certification Form

Your response to the juror questionnaire qualified you to serve as a prospective juror with the exception of a disability or medical claim. As a result, your name has been placed in a deferred status.

Present this form to your physician to be completed and returned to our office within 15 days of notification. Otherwise, your name will be placed back in the jury pool for summons in the near future. A judge will review your certification form upon receipt and take the appropriate action. If your claim is denied, you will receive a summons at a later date.

I authorize my physician to release the following medical information regarding my health.

Jesus Mendoza  
Juror Signature

100439587  
Juror Badge ID

(956) 583-7012  
Juror Phone No.

26 Nov. 2018  
Date

**INSTRUCTIONS TO PHYSICIAN** Please complete, sign and return to your patient. The above named person is a patient of mine and he/she suffers from the following illness/disability which would preclude him/her from serving on jury duty at this time (state illness/disability):

Life-threatening Electrohypersensitivity and  
Chemical hypersensitivity.

In my medical opinion he/she (check one):

- ☐ will be able to serve in 90 days.  
☐ will be able to serve in 6 months.  
☐ will be able to serve in 1 year.  
☒ will permanently be unable to serve

I state to the Court that the above information is true and correct.

[Signature]  
Physician's Signature

11/28/18  
Date

Alfred Johnson DO  
Physician's Printed Name

972-479-0400  
Physician's Phone No.

#### FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

- ☐ deferred 90 days  
☐ deferred 6 months  
☐ deferred 1 year  
☐ EXCUSED

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jury Administration

46

Vol 1  
15 Dec 2017

CAUSE NO. F-15911-III-A

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

92ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS.

FILED  
AT 4:13 O'CLOCK

JUL 24 2017

LAURA HINOJOSA, CLERK  
District Court Hidalgo County  
By Deputy #43

The State of Texas v. Jesus Mendoza  
Jesus Mendoza v. Ken Paxton.

PRO-SE RESPONDENT COUNTER-PETITIONER MOTION TO APPEAR BY PHONE TO COURT.  
EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION REQUESTED.

I respectfully request the Court to allow me appearing by phone to the hearing set in this Court for July 26, 2017 at 9:00 am and to any other hearings before this Court on the ground that a life-threatening disability does not permit that I appear in person to Court; on the ground that the State of Texas and the Texas Attorney General have admitted to this Court the legitimacy of my life-threatening disability; on the ground that the U.S. Supreme Court in Tennessee v. Lane 541 U.S. 509 (2004) required that State Courts provides reasonable accommodations to persons with disabilities to access and petition the Courts; and on the ground that allowing me to appear by phone is a reasonable accommodation to comply with the 13th Court of Appeals Order dated June 30, 2017 to have a hearing pursuant to Tex. R. App. P. 34.6(f)(4), and 37.3(a)(1) as explained herein.

DECLARATION

1. My name is Jesus Mendoza, my date of birth is [REDACTED] and my address is 2202 E. 28th St. Mission, Texas 78574.
2. I declare under penalty of perjury that I am of sound mind and competent to make this Declaration and that the facts stated in this Declaration are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.
3. I am suffering of a painful, debilitating, and life-threatening Electro-hypersensitivity and of chemical sensitivity.
4. Exposure to the radiation found inside buildings, including the radiation emitted by power lines, electric transformers and motors, fluorescent lights, Wi-Fi transmitters, cell phones, and wireless computers causes me among other things, swelling of vital organs, swelling of face, head and eyes, flu and allergy symptoms, rashes and loss of skin, symptoms of heart attack and of stroke, and breathing, speech, hearing, concentration and memory problems. Exposure to the chemicals found inside buildings causes me some of the same health problems.
5. The delayed effects of radiation exposures have been catastrophic. I have a history of life-threatening swelling of heart, and the swelling inside my skull has been so severe as to push an eye out.

of its socket.

- 6.. I cannot be inside my home but for a few minutes at a time, and I stay in a metal room and in an underground room in the backyard. with exception of some walks around the neighborhood during the year 2011 and walks to the neighbors, I have been home bound for more than 6 years.
- 7.. I have been violently ill several times. At times, I struggle to breathe even with oxygen. I am in pain all the time. Everyday is different nightmare.
- 8.. My Electrohypersensitivity has been recognized as a physical medical condition and as a disability by federal and State agencies and by Courts.
- 9.. On November 8, 2016, on a hearing before this Court on the State of Texas' Motion to enforce by incarceration alleged support orders, in which I was allowed to appear by phone, my Court appointed attorney, Ms. Terang Coronado read to the Court my doctors letter which states that it is medically necessary that I be allowed to appear by phone to Court and details how my life-threatening Electrohypersensitivity and my chemical sensitivity do not permit that I appear in person to Court and stating that if I am confined inside a building or in other places where there is radiation I could suffer irreversible harm,

including death. A copy of my doctor's letter is attached as Exhibit A.

10. In response, the State of Texas, through the Defendant the Texas Attorney General, Ken Paxton, allowed my doctor's letter into evidence and withdrew his motion to enforce by incarceration the alleged support orders, admitting to the legitimacy of my Electro-hypersensitivity and chemical sensitivity as physical medical conditions and as disabilities, admitting to my inability to appear in person to Court, and admitting to the seriousness of my health condition.

11. At no time, the State of Texas nor Defendant Paxton have opposed my Motions to appear by phone to judicial proceedings.

12. For all these reasons, I respectfully request the Court to allow me appearing by phone to judicial proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

*Jesus Mendoza* 24 July, 2017.

Jesus Mendoza, Pro-se Respondent Counter-Petitioner  
2202 E. 28th St. Mission, Texas 78574 Tel. (956)583-7012

Certificate of Service:

I certify that on 25 July 2017 I sent a copy of the above and proposed orders by hand delivery or by mail to counsel of record, Norma Ortiz, 3508 N. Jackson Rd. Ste. 600 Pharr, Texas 78577-8643; [REDACTED]

CAUSE NO. F-1591-11-A

FILED  
AT 11 O'CLOCK 11 M  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT  
SEP 27 2018

LAURA MINOJOSA, CLERK  
District Courts, Hidalgo County  
92ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
By [Signature] Deputy #1

HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS.

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
Kerr Paxton v. Jesus Mendoza;  
Jesus Mendoza v. Kerr Paxton;  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

ORDER SETTING HEARING AND ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT COUNTER-PETITIONER'S MOTION TO  
APPEAR BY PHONE TO HEARING.

Hearing on the Motion for an Order to the Clerk to Issue a Subpoena to the 92nd  
District Court Judge Luis M. Singletary is set for December 6, 2018 @ 1:30 pm

Pro-se Respondent Counter-Petitioner, Jesus Mendoza is allowed to appear by phone to  
this hearing as accommodation to his disability.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Nov 27, 2018

[Signature]  
PRESIDING JUDGE

51

cc:  
Office of the Attorney General: cad-legal-316@texasattorneygeneral.gov Norma Ortiz, 3508  
N. Jackson Rd. Ste. 600 Pharr, Texas 78577-8644;  
Jesus Mendoza, 2202 E. 28th St. Mission, Texas 78574 Tel. (956)583-7012;  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~



CAUSE NO. E-115911-III-A

FILED  
AT 12:47 O'CLOCK PM

IN THE DISTRICT COURT  
District Clerk, Hidalgo County  
2ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT Deputy #8

Ken Paxton v. Jesus Mendoza,

Jesus Mendoza v. Ken Paxton,

HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS.

ORDER SETTING HEARING ON MOTION TO RECUSE JUDGE DAVID SANCHEZ AND ORDER GRANTING PRO SE  
RESPONDENT COUNTER PETITIONER MOTION TO APPEAR BY PHONE TO THE HEARING

Hearing on Motion to Recuse Judge Sanchez is set for December 6, 2018 @ 1:30 p.m.

After consideration of Pro-se Respondent Counter Petitioner Jesus Mendoza's Motion to  
appear by phone to the Hearing on the Motion to Recuse Judge David Sanchez, as  
accommodation to Mendoza's disability, the Court finds the Motion with merit and should  
be granted. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Jesus Mendoza be allowed to appear by phone to  
the Hearing on the Motion to Recuse Judge David Sanchez.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 NOV 2018

  
PRESIDING JUDGE

52

cc: Norma Ortiz, 3508 N. Jackson Rd. Ste. 600 Pharr, Texas 78577-8643  
tall. (800) 252-8914;

Jesus Mendoza, 2202 E. 28th St. Mission, Texas 78574 Tall. (956) 583-7012;

CAUSE NO. P-1591-III-A

FILED  
AT 11 O'CLOCK P. M.

SEP 27 2018

IN THE DISTRICT COURT  
LAURA RINOLISA, CLERK  
District Court, Hidalgo County  
92ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
By Deputy #1  
HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS.

Ken Paxton v. Jesus Mendoza,

Jesus Mendoza v. Ken Paxton,

ORDER SETTING HEARING ON MOTION TO WAIVE SUBPOENAS FEES

Hearing on Pro-se Respondent Counter Petitioner Jesus Mendoza Motion to Waive Subpoenas  
Fees is set for December 6, 2018 @ 1:30 pm

Pro-se Respondent Counter Petitioner Jesus Mendoza is allowed to appear by phone to the  
hearing as accommodation to his disability.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Nov 2018

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PRESIDING JUDGE

53

cc: Norma Ortiz, 3508 N. Jackson Rd. Ste. 600 Pharr, Texas 78577-8643  
tel. (800) 252-8014;

Jesus Mendoza, 2202 E. 28th St. Mission, Texas 78574 Tel. (956) 583-7012;

CAUSE NO. F-1591-11-A

FILED  
AT 11 O'CLOCK M  
IN THE DISTRICT COURT  
SEP 27 2018  
92ND JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
LAURA RIVERA, CLERK  
District Court, Hidalgo County  
By Deputy #1  
HIDALGO COUNTY, TEXAS.

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Ken Paxton v. Jesus Mendoza;  
Jesus Mendoza v. Ken Paxton;  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

ORDER SETTING HEARING ON MOTION TO USE SPEAKERS AND MICROPHONES ON JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS

Hearing on Respondent Counter Petitioner Motion Jesus Mendoza Motion to Use Speakers and Microphones on Judicial Proceedings as accommodation to his disability is set for December 6, 2018 @ 1:30 p.m.

Respondent Counter Petitioner Jesus Mendoza is allowed to appear by telephone to the hearing as accommodation to his disability.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

29 Nov 2018

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PRESIDING JUDGE

54

cc:  
Office of the Attorney General: oad-legal-316@texasattorneygeneral.gov Norma Ortiz, 3508  
N. Jackson Rd. Ste. 600 Pharr, Texas 78577-8644;  
Jesus Mendoza, 2202 E. 28th St. Mission, Texas 78574 Tel. (956)583-7012;  
[REDACTED]

FILED

CAUSE No. C-013-08-I  
THE STATE OF TEXAS

2008 JAN -3 P 3:56

DISTRICT CLERK  
HIDALGO COUNTY

IN THE DISTRICT COURT  
OF HIDALGO, TEXAS, DEPUTY  
348 JUDICIAL DISTRICT

In Re, Jesus Mendoza

**PETITIONER'S MOTION TO APPEAR BY TELEPHONIC  
CONFERENCE TO JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS**

Now Comes Pro-se Petitioner and respectfully requests this Court leave to appear by telephonic conference to hearings, depositions, trial and other judicial proceedings before this Court as a reasonable accommodation to Petitioner's disability.

1. Petitioner, Jesus Mendoza, suffers of a severe medically recognized disability that impairs among other things his ability to stay inside buildings for extended periods of time. Exposure to radiation emitted by power lines, fluorescent lights, video display terminals, air-conditioning motors, cellular telephones, etc., causes Petitioner among other things swelling of vital organs, internal bleeding, breathing problems, loss of concentration and speech impairment. Petitioner's ability to work, has been substantially impaired. Petitioner has been violently ill several times, Petitioner is in pain all the time. Please See Statement of Disability and Decision of the Social Security Administration finding Petitioner's electromagnetic sensitivity a severe impairment, and a photo showing the swelling on Petitioner's face. **Please See Exhibits "1", "2", and "3".**

Petitioner has filed in this Court a Petition to remove from the bench Justice of the Peace Ismael "Melo" Ochoa on the ground that Judge Ochoa has engaged in judicial misconduct. Petitioner requires accommodations to his disability during discovery and prosecution of the Petition, to prevent further aggravation of

55

011


Petitioner's health condition and to prevent that others may take advantage of Petitioner's disability.

## ARGUMENT

Appearing by telephonic conference to hearings, depositions, and other judicial proceedings before this Court is a reasonable accommodation to Petitioner's disability. The American with Disabilities Act and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, require that the courts provide reasonable accommodations to the disabled on judicial proceedings. Accommodations to Petitioner's disability during judicial proceedings are necessary to provide Petitioner access to the courts and due process. The due process right of access to the courts, and the right to petition the government with grievances applies to persons in extraordinary circumstances. *In Re Primus*, 436 US 412, 98 S Ct. 1893, (1978), *Bounds v Smith*, 430 US 817, 97 S Ct. 1491 (1977).

## RELIEF

For all of the above reasons, Petitioner respectfully requests this Court to set hearing on this matter by telephonic conference at the Court's earliest convenience and that upon hearing, the Court grants Petitioner's Motion for Special accommodations to Petitioner's disability during judicial proceedings, including appearing by telephone to hearings, depositions, trial, and other judicial proceedings, and provide Petitioner any appropriate remedy at law or in equity that Petitioner is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,   
Jesus Mendoza, Pro-se Petitioner, 2202 E. 28th Street, Mission, Texas 78574,  
Tel. 956/ 519 7166

CAUSE No. C-013-08-I  
THE STATE OF TEXAS

In Re, Jesus Mendoza

IN THE DISTRICT COURT  
OF HIDALGO, TEXAS,  
348 JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**ORDER**

On this 25<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2008, came to be considered the forgoing Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Appear by Telephonic Conference to Judicial Proceedings including Hearings, Depositions, and Trial, In the above styled and numbered cause. After considering the Motion, the Court is of the opinion that the Petitioner's Motion is with merit and should in all things be granted. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Appear by Telephonic Conference to ~~Judicial Proceedings including Hearings, Depositions, and Trial~~ for hearing on the Motion to Revoke be GRANTED.

Done this 25<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2008.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PRESIDING JUDGE

Copies to: Petitioner, Jesus Mendoza, 2202 E. 28<sup>th</sup> St. Mission, Texas, 78574, Tel. (956)519-7166. and to Justice of the Peace Ismael "Melo" Ochoa Justice of the Peace Court, Pct. 3 Pl. 2, 730 Breyfogle Suite A, Mission, Texas, 78574, Tel. (956)581-2124.

1083

57

SCANNED

NEXT PAGE

NEXT PAGE

NEXT PAGE

CAUSE No C-013-08-I  
THE STATE OF TEXAS

In Re, Jesus Mendoza

IN THE DISTRICT COURT  
OF HIDALGO, TEXAS,  
~~197~~<sup>th</sup> JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
398<sup>th</sup>

Honorable Migdalia Lopez  
District Judge

**ORDER**

On this 9th day of May, 2008, came to be considered the forgoing Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Appear by Telephonic Conference to Judicial Proceedings including Hearings, Depositions, and Trial, In the above styled and numbered cause. After considering the Motion, the Court is the opinion that the Petitioner's Motion is with merit and should in all things be granted. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Appear by Telephonic Conference to Judicial Proceedings including Hearings, Depositions, and Trial be GRANTED.

Done this 9th day of May, 2008.

Migdalia Lopez  
PRESIDING JUDGE

1092



100

EXHIBIT A

United States Court of  
Fifth Circuit

FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

September 23, 201

Charles R. Fulbrig  
Clerk

No. 04-40095  
Summary Calendar

JESUS MENDOZA MALDONADO,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

versus

JOHN ASHCROFT, U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL,

Defendant-Appellee.

-----  
Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
USDC No. M-03-CV-38  
-----

Before REAVLEY, WIENER and BENAVIDES, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:\*

Jesus Mendoza Maldonado appeals from the dismissal of his complaint as frivolous pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i), arguing that his motion to recuse the magistrate judge was erroneously denied. We affirm.

Maldonado's argument that the magistrate judge was without authority to refer the motion to recuse to the district judge is frivolous; if the issue of a judge recusing herself arises either through a motion to recuse under § 455 or an affidavit of

59

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

prejudice under § 144, the judge has the option to either transfer the matter to another judge for decision or determine it herself. See Doddy v. Oxy USA, Inc., 101 F.3d 448, 458 n.7 (5th Cir. 1996).

Maldonado's motion for recusal was based solely on conclusional allegations of prejudice stemming from adverse rulings. Adverse judicial rulings alone, however, do not support an allegation of bias under 28 U.S.C. §§ 455 or 144, and, therefore, the denial of the motion was not an abuse of discretion. See Liteky v. United States, 510 U.S. 540, 555. (1994); Matassarini v. Lynch, 174 F.3d 549, 571 (5th Cir. 1999). Maldonado did not raise in his initial brief the ultimate issue whether his claims lacked an arguable basis in fact, and, therefore, that issue is not considered. See Cinel v. Connick, 15 F.3d 1338, 1345 (5th Cir. 1994). Furthermore, as the magistrate judge pointed out in her report of July 14, 2003, no causal connection is set forth, or is conceivable, between Maldonado's electromagnetic hypersensitivity and the Attorney General of the United States.

AFFIRMED.

60